

## NOTE BY THE TECHNICAL SECRETARIAT

REPORT OF THE FACT-FINDING MISSION REGARDING THE INCIDENT OF ALLEGED USE OF TOXIC CHEMICALS AS A WEAPON IN DOUMA, SYRIAN ARAB REPUBLIC, ON 7 APRIL 2018

## 1. INTRODUCTION

This document contains the final report ${ }^{1}$ on the work of the OPCW Fact-Finding Mission in Syria (FFM) regarding the alleged use of toxic chemicals as a weapon in Douma, the Syrian Arab Republic, on 7 April 2018. The work of the FFM was conducted in accordance with preambular paragraph 8 and operative paragraphs 5 and 6 of decision EC-M-48/DEC. 1 (dated 4 February 2015) and other relevant decisions of the OPCW Executive Council (hereinafter "the Council"), as well as under the Director-General's authority to seek to uphold at all times the object and purpose of the Chemical Weapons Convention, as reinforced by resolutions 2118 (2013) and 2209 (2015) of the United Nations Security Council as applicable to this investigation. The mandates for the investigation of the alleged incident are referenced in note verbale NV/ODG/214589/18 (dated 10 April 2018) of the Technical Secretariat (hereinafter "the Secretariat") and note verbale No. 38 of the Syrian Arab Republic (dated 10 April 2018).

## 2. SUMMARY

2.1 On 10 April 2018, the Secretariat and the Permanent Representation of the Syrian Arab Republic to the OPCW exchanged notes verbales with regard to urgently dispatching a Fact-Finding Mission (FFM) team to Damascus to gather facts regarding the incident of alleged use of toxic chemicals, as a weapon, in Douma on 7 April 2018. An advance team was dispatched on 12 April and a follow-on team the next day, with the full complement arriving in Damascus on 15 April. A second team deployed to a different location on 16 April to conduct further activities in relation to the allegation.
2.2 The FFM team could not enter Douma until almost a week after arrival due to the high security risk to the team, which included the presence of unexploded ordinance, explosives and sleeper cells still suspected of being active in Douma. On 18 April, during a reconnaissance visit to two sites of interest, the security detail was confronted by a hostile crowd and came under small arms fire and a hand-grenade explosion. The incident reportedly resulted in two fatalities and one injury. ${ }^{2}$
2.3 On 21 April, the FFM team conducted its first visit to one of the sites of interest after security concerns had been addressed and it was deemed safe to enter Douma. The team made four additional deployments to other sites of interest over the following ten days, including two on-site visits to a warehouse and a facility suspected by the Syrian Arab Republic Authorities of producing chemical weapons. There were no further security incidents during the on-site visits and the FFM team was at all times isolated from local crowds and media personnel, thereby allowing it to conduct its activities without interference. At one location, the team was unable to gain full access to apartments of interest. ${ }^{3}$

[^0]2.4 The FFM activities regarding the Douma alleged incident included: (a) on-site visits; (b) chemical detection; (c) environmental sample collection and receipt; (d) biomedical sample collection and receipt; and (e) witness and casualty interviews, including on Syrian territory. These activities were conducted following stringent procedures of the OPCW.
2.5 All the environmental samples from Douma were collected by the FFM team on Syrian territory in the presence of representatives of the Syrian Arab Republic. Fractions of the aforementioned samples were handed over by the FFM to the Syrian National Authority representative.
2.6 Based on the levels of chlorinated organic derivatives, detected in several environmental samples gathered at the sites of alleged use of toxic chemicals (Locations 2 and 4), which are not naturally present in the environment, the FFM concludes that the objects from which the samples were taken at both locations had been in contact with one or more substances containing reactive chlorine. ${ }^{4}$
2.7 No organophosphorous nerve agents, their degradation products or synthesis impurities were detected either in environmental samples prioritised for analysis or in plasma samples from alleged casualties.
2.8 Apart from the Schedule 3.B. 17 chemical triethanolamine and a Schedule 2.B. 04 chemical known as "AmgardV19", the presence of which was satisfactorily explained, ${ }^{5}$ no other scheduled chemicals listed in the Annex on Chemical to the Chemical Weapons Convention, or their degradation products, were detected in the environmental samples analysed.
2.9 From the analysis of the information gathered during the on-site visits to the warehouse and facility suspected of producing chemical weapons, there was no indication of either facility being involved in their manufacture. The information collected indicates that the activities at both locations were mostly related to the production of explosives.
2.10 Witnesses reported to the FFM team that there were 43 decedents related to the alleged chemical incident, most of whom were seen in videos and photos strewn on the floor of multiple levels of an apartment building and in front of the same building. Additionally, several witnesses reported seeing decedents in the basement of the building, on multiple floors of the building, on the streets and inside the basements of several buildings within the same area. A United Nations agency also reported cases of death by exposure to a toxic chemical. ${ }^{6}$ However, the team did not have direct access to examine dead bodies, as it could not enter Douma until two weeks after the incident (see paragraph 2.2), by which time the bodies had been buried.

[^1]2.11 Many of the signs and symptoms reported by the medical personnel, witnesses and casualties (as well as those seen in multiple videos provided by witnesses), their rapid onset, and the large number of those reportedly affected, indicate exposure to an inhalational irritant or toxic substance. However, based on the information reviewed and with the absence of biomedical samples from the dead bodies or any autopsy records, it is not currently possible to precisely link the cause of the signs and symptoms to a specific chemical.
2.12 Two yellow industrial cylinders dedicated for pressurised gas with dimensions of approximately $1.4 \times 0.4$ meters were observed by the FFM team at two separate locations (Locations 2 and 4). ${ }^{7}$
2.13 The team analysed the available material and consulted independent experts in mechanical engineering, ballistics and metallurgy who utilised specialised computer modelling techniques to provide qualified and competent assessments of the trajectory and damage to the cylinders found at Locations 2 and 4.
2.14 The analyses indicated that the structural damage to the rebar-reinforced concrete terrace at Location 2 was caused by an impacting object with a geometrically symmetric shape and sufficient kinetic energy to cause the observed damage. The analyses indicate that the damage observed on the cylinder found on the roof-top terrace, the aperture, the balcony, the surrounding rooms, the rooms underneath and the structure above, is consistent with the creation of the aperture observed in the terrace by the cylinder found in that location.
2.15 At Location 4, the results of the studies indicated that the shape of the aperture produced in the modulation matched the shape and damage observed by the team. The studies further indicated that, after passing through the ceiling and impacting the floor at lower speed, the cylinder continued an altered trajectory, until reaching the position in which it was found.
2.16 Based on the analysis results of the samples taken by the FFM from the cylinders, their proximity at both locations, as well as the analysis results of the samples mentioned under paragraph 2.6, it is possible that the cylinders were the source of the substances containing reactive chlorine. ${ }^{8}$
2.17 Regarding the alleged use of toxic chemicals as a weapon on 7 April 2018 in Douma, the Syrian Arab Republic, the evaluation and analysis of all the information gathered by the FFM-witnesses' testimonies, environmental and biomedical samples analysis results, toxicological and ballistic analyses from experts, additional digital information from witnesses-provide reasonable grounds that the use of a toxic chemical as a weapon took place. This toxic chemical contained reactive chlorine. The toxic chemical was likely molecular chlorine.

[^2]
## 3. BACKGROUND

3.1 On 7 April 2018, reports began to circulate on social media and in the press regarding an alleged chemical attack that had taken place at around 16:00 local time on the same day in Douma, a district of eastern Ghouta in Damascus, the Syrian Arab Republic, and another attack the same evening at approximately 19:30. Casualty levels ranging from 40 to 70 deaths, including large numbers of children, and hundreds of chemical-related injuries, were reported. There were mixed reports of what toxic chemicals had been used, with some citing chlorine and others citing sarin, or a mixture of both substances. Images and videos posted online showed casualties in a residential building as well as victims being treated at a hospital, reportedly for chemical exposure. Photos and videos of cylinders allegedly used in the two attacks were also posted online.
3.2 Widespread condemnation of the incident ensued, with armed opposition groups assigning responsibility for the alleged incident to the forces of the Syrian Arab Republic. The latter denied the attack and accused the media wing of Jaysh al Islam of fabricating the incident to incriminate the Syrian Arab Army.
3.3 On 10 April 2018, the Secretariat sent Note Verbale No. NV/ODG/214589/18 to the Syrian Arab Republic expressing its intention to deploy a team to Damascus. This correspondence coincided with Note Verbale No. 38 from the Permanent Representation of the Syrian Arab Republic to the OPCW requesting the FFM team to be dispatched urgently to visit the town of Douma to verify the information surrounding the alleged use of toxic chemicals on 7 April 2018. On the same day, the Permanent Representative of the Russian Federation to the OPCW submitted a letter to the Director-General in which he welcomed the request of the Syrian Arab Republic and pledged to facilitate the work of the FFM.
3.4 An advance team was mobilised and dispatched on 12 April 2018 with a follow-on team the next day. The FFM entered the Syrian Arab Republic on 14 April 2018.

## 4. AIMS AND SCOPE OF THE FFM

4.1 The aim of the FFM, as specified in Mandate FFM/050/18, was to gather facts regarding the incident of alleged use of toxic chemicals as a weapon, in Douma, in eastern Ghouta, the Syrian Arab Republic, on 7 April 2018, as reported in the media, and to report to the Director-General upon conclusion of the FFM activities. The site for investigation included Damascus and any other relevant sites, subject to consultation with the Government of the Syrian Arab Republic and in accordance with paragraphs 12 and 13 of the FFM Terms of Reference. The operational instructions were to:

- review and analyse all available information pertaining to the reported incident of alleged use of toxic chemicals, as a weapon;
- collect testimonies from persons alleged to have been affected by the use of toxic chemicals, as a weapon, including those who underwent treatment; eyewitnesses of the alleged use of toxic chemicals; medical personnel who had provided treatment to persons who had been treated or came into contact with persons who might have been affected by the alleged use of toxic chemicals;
- where possible, and deemed necessary, carry out medical examinations, including autopsies, and collect biomedical samples of those alleged to have been affected;
- if possible, visit hospitals and other locations as deemed relevant to the conduct of its investigations;
- examine and, if possible, collect copies of hospital records, including patient registers, treatment records, and any other relevant records as deemed necessary;
- examine and, if possible, collect copies of any other documentation and records deemed necessary;
- take photographs and video recordings and examine and, if possible, collect copies of video and telephone records;
- if possible and deemed necessary, physically examine and collect samples from remnants of munitions, devices, cylinders, containers, etc., alleged to have been used during the incident under investigation;
- if possible and deemed necessary, collect environmental samples at or from the alleged points of incident and surrounding area;
- arrange transport for the off-site analysis of the collected samples; and
- undertake activities in accordance with the relevant Technical Secretariat procedures relating to the conduct of inspections during contingency operations, as applicable.
4.2 On 20 April, the Syrian Arab Republic submitted a note verbale to the Secretariat formally requesting the Director-General to instruct the FFM team to carry out a visit, within the framework of its mission to gather facts surrounding the allegation on 7 April 2018, to a warehouse suspected of storing chemicals related to the production of chemical weapons.
4.3 Three further mandates (FFM/049/18, FFM/051/18, and FFM/057/18) were issued by the Director-General instructing the FFM team to conduct further activities in relation to the investigation of alleged use of toxic chemicals as a weapon in the Syrian Arab Republic on 7 April 2018.


## 5. PRE-DEPLOYMENT ACTIVITIES AND TIMELINE

5.1 Following reports in the media of the alleged incident on 7 April, the Information Cell of the Technical Secretariat immediately informed the FFM team and initiated a search of open-source information to assess the credibility of the allegation. The major sources comprised news media, blogs and the websites of various non-governmental organisations (Annex 2). The final assessment by the Information Cell was that the credibility of the allegation was high, and the Director-General, based on this information, initiated an on-site investigation.
5.2 The FFM team, comprising nine inspectors and two interpreters, was mobilised on 9 April 2018 and pre-deployment activities commenced immediately. Preparations were made to deploy an advance team of three inspectors and an interpreter on 12 April and a follow-on team the next day. The team was briefed by the Information Cell on all the relevant information gathered to date. A detailed timeline of the key events of the mission is provided in Annex 3.

## 6. SECURITY AND ACCESS TO THE SITES OF THE ALLEGED INCIDENTS

6.1 Given the recent military activities and the volatile situation in Douma at the time of the FFM deployment, security and safety considerations were of paramount importance. Considerable time and effort were invested in discussions and planning to mitigate the inherent security risks to the FFM team and others deploying to Douma. According to Syrian Arab Republic and Russian Military Police representatives, there were a number of unacceptable risks to the team, including mines and explosives that still needed to be cleared, a risk of explosions, and sleeper cells still suspected of being active in Douma. This assessment was shared by the representative of the United Nations Department of Safety and Security (UNDSS). Moreover, the operation to evacuate residents who had accepted an offer to leave Douma was ongoing, using the same road the team would have to take.
6.2 At the outset, the FFM team stated that, as general rule, the security of the mission is the responsibility of the hosting State Party to the Chemical Weapons Convention. During the initial meetings in Damascus, the FFM team was informed by Syrian and Russian representatives that the Syrian Arab Republic could guarantee the safety of the FFM team only if the security was provided jointly with the Russian Military Police.
6.3 Following consultations with OPCW Headquarters, it was agreed between the Secretariat, the Syrian Arab Republic, the Russian Military Police, the United Nations Office for Project Services (UNOPS), and UNDSS representatives that security within Douma could be provided by the Russian Military Police. This was formalised on 16 April 2018. Consequently, it was agreed that the Syrian Arab Republic would provide security from the hotel where the inspectors were staying to the final checkpoint at El Wafadin before entering Douma. From that point on, the Syrian Arab Republic would relinquish responsibility for security to the Russian Military Police. It was also agreed that the FFM team would be accompanied by Syrian Arab Republic representatives during the on-site activities, with Russian personnel limited to providing security.
6.4 During the reconnaissance visit by UNDSS on 18 April 2018 to assess the first two locations planned to be visited the following day, the security detail was confronted by a hostile crowd and came under fire from small arms and a hand grenade that exploded at Location 2 (for locations see Figure 2 in Section 8 below). The incident reportedly resulted in two fatalities and an injury to a Russian soldier.
6.5 Following the incident, the planned deployment of the FFM team was postponed until the security situation could be reassessed. Additional measures to mitigate the high security risks were proposed by the UNDSS representative, and included:
(a) clearing the areas to be visited by the FFM team;
(b) securing the areas during the 24 -hour period before deployment;
(c) increasing the number of escorts and having advance teams from the UNDSS and the Russian Military Police monitor the area prior to the arrival of the team at the sites;
(d) using the police force for crowd control;
(e) minimising the movement of civilians near the areas of interest given the possibility of suicide bombers getting within close proximity of the inspection team; and deploying snipers on rooftops around the sites of interest.
6.6 New routes of access to the locations of interest were identified and modifications to the initial FFM deployment plans were formulated. These included reducing the size of the FFM team deploying to the field to facilitate better security control and limiting the number of sites to be visited during each deployment. All parties agreed that media reports and public pronouncements on operational aspects of the FFM were compounding the security risk for the team, and efforts were made to mitigate this risk element.
6.7 Once the security reassessment had been concluded and the proposed additional mitigation measures implemented, the FFM team deployed to the sites of investigation in accordance with the updated priorities and proposed schedule.
6.8 For the remainder of the mission, the deployment by the FFM team proceeded without any security incidents. Access was granted to locations identified by the team as soon as adequate security conditions could be assured by the Syrian Arab Republic, the Russian Military Police, and the UNDSS. The Russian Military Police ensured that the team was fully isolated from local crowds and media personnel during the on-site visits, thereby allowing it to conduct its activities without interference.
6.9 The FFM visited Location 4 (see Figure 2) on two occasions. During the visit to Location 2, Syrian Arab Republic representatives did not provide the access requested by the FFM team to some apartments of interest within the building, which were closed at the time. The Syrian Arab Republic representatives stated that they did not have the authority to force entry into the locked apartments.

## 7. MISSION ACTIVITIES

## Methodological considerations

7.1 The FFM followed the same general methodology outlined in previous FFM reports, with the team adhering throughout its deployment to the most stringent protocols available. ${ }^{9}$ Three FFM sub-teams were deployed to two locations at different time intervals to conduct activities relevant to the respective mandates.
7.2 Environmental sampling at the alleged incident sites in Douma was conducted by the FFM team, using its own equipment and ensuring chain of custody throughout the operation in accordance with OPCW standard operating procedures (SOPs), work instructions (WIs) and guidelines. Samples were collected, sealed, and documented in photos and video recordings in the presence of Syrian Arab Republic representatives and unpacked at the OPCW Laboratory for splitting and redistribution to the OPCW

[^3]designated laboratories in the presence of a representative of the Syrian Arab Republic.
7.3 Additional environmental and biological samples were received by the FFM from witnesses (Annex 5). From the moment of receipt, these samples were handled as described above. The FFM team also directly oversaw the drawing of blood samples from witnesses who reported being exposed to toxic chemicals in Douma on 7 April 2018.
7.4 Interviews were conducted by inspectors proficient in interviewing techniques, following the strict procedures set out in the OPCW WIs. Prior to commencing the interviews, the process was described to the interviewee, with emphasis on the fact that, with the consent of the interviewee, the interviews would be audio and/or video recorded. After confirming that the process had been understood, interviewees were requested to sign a consent form. The interview process followed the free-recall approach, with follow-up questions to elicit information of potential evidentiary value and to clarify aspects of the testimony.
7.5 Open-source materials including, but not limited to, videos and photos were used primarily for planning activities, but also for comparative purposes with material directly collected by the FFM team during the course of the investigation. However, the conclusion of the investigation does not rely on data and information gathered from open sources.

## Activities

7.6 The individual activities of the FFM were conducted in accordance with OPCW guidelines as well as SOPs and WIs (Annex 1).
7.7 The activities included:
(a) collecting environmental samples at sites relevant to the alleged incident, namely Locations 1,2 , and 4 , as well as at two additional locations; one suspected by the Syrian Arab Republic authorities of producing chemical weapons and the other suspected to be a warehouse;
(b) receiving and documenting biomedical and environmental samples brought by alleged casualties or witnesses, as well as overseeing the direct taking of blood samples;
(c) taking photographs and collecting data on the cylinders found at Locations 2 and 4, and of the physical surroundings;
(d) taking photographs and collecting data from a warehouse and a facility suspected by the authorities of the Syrian Arab Republic of producing chemical weapons;
(e) conducting interviews with medical staff, casualties, first responders and witnesses of the alleged chemical attack in Douma;
(f) reviewing open-source materials (see paragraph 7.5 above for use of opensource materials);
(g) tagging of two cylinders; and
(h) consulting independent experts in toxicology, ballistics, structural engineering and metallurgy.
7.8 The possibility of exhuming bodies from mass graves to collect biomedical samples and examining bodies reportedly exposed to toxic chemicals from the alleged attack on 7 April 2018 was considered by the Secretariat. The intention to do so was communicated to the Syrian Arab Republic in Note Verbale NV/ODG/214827/18, and preliminary preparations were undertaken by the Secretariat for this eventuality. The Syrian Arab Republic replied in Note Verbale No. 45 on 4 May 2018 and enumerated the conditions to be met in order to conduct the exhumation. With due consideration of the time elapsed since the alleged incident, the possibility was eventually not explored any further.

## 8. FACTUAL FINDINGS

## Alleged sites

8.1 The sites visited during the FFM deployment included Location 1, Location 2 and Location 4, which refer to the hospital where victims were allegedly treated for chemical exposure, the residential block with the cylinder on the roof terrace, and the apartment with the cylinder found in the bedroom, respectively. Location 3 was initially considered a site of interest, but was discarded based on subsequent information. Two other locations, a facility and a warehouse, were visited to gather information to assess any possible connection with chemical weapons manufacture. Locations 1 to 4 are shown on the satellite images of Douma below.

FIGURE 1: LOCATION OF DOUMA IN SYRIA


FIGURE 2: LOCATIONS (1-4) OF INTEREST TO THE FFM IN DOUMA

$\begin{array}{ll}\text { FIGURE 3: } & \text { INDIVIDUAL LOCATIONS OF INTEREST TO THE FFM IN } \\ \text { DOUMA }\end{array}$


FIGURE 4: OTHER AREAS OF INTEREST IN PROXIMITY TO LOCATION 2


Figure 4 shows the area around Location 2, the vehicles' tunnel to Point One (Location 1) and areas mentioned by witnesses. The white shaded area is the general location where witnesses reported having perceived a strong odour. The red shaded areas are buildings/houses/places where witnesses reported being affected by a chemical.

## FIGURE 5: OTHER AREAS OF INTEREST



The red shaded area was reported to be the location of an alleged chlorine attack at approximately 16:00 on 7 April 2018.
8.2 The meteorological conditions in Douma on 7 April around the time of the alleged incident, as registered in open sources (darksky.net), are shown in Table 1 below.

TABLE 1: METEOROLOGICAL CONDITIONS IN DOUMA ON 7 APRIL 2018

| Time | Temperature | Wind <br> Direction | Wind <br> Speed | Precipitation | Clouds | Humidity |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $19: 00$ | $26^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | From SE | $11 \mathrm{Km} / \mathrm{h}$ | 0.0 mm | overcast | $27 \%$ |

## Sampling

8.3 The FFM team formulated detailed sampling plans for each site of allegation. The plans relied on robust scientific principles, buttressed where possible by peer-reviewed scientific literature or proven experience, to identify sample types and locations of greatest potential probative value to the mission. Details of the scientific rationale behind the sampling process are given in Annex 4.
8.4 The team executed the original sampling plans to the extent possible, adapting to the conditions on site where necessary.
8.5 Given the number of locations visited and the diversity of potential evidentiary material available, 129 samples in total were collected and transported to the OPCW Laboratory. To expedite analysis of those environmental samples considered to be of greatest probative value or of highest susceptibility to degradation, 31 samples were selected for the first round of analysis by the OPCW designated laboratories. An
additional batch of 13 samples was sent for a second round of analysis at a later stage. The results of analysis are presented in Annex 5.

## Discussion of analysis results

8.6 The results of analysis of the prioritised samples submitted to the designated laboratories were received by the FFM team on 22 May 2018 and 8 February 2019. No organophosphorus nerve agents or their degradation products were detected, either in the environmental samples or in plasma samples from the alleged casualties. Various chlorinated organic chemicals were found in samples from Locations 2 and 4, along with explosive residue. These results are reported in Annex 5.
8.7 No scheduled chemicals or degradation products of scheduled chemicals were detected except: (a) the Schedule 3.B. 17 chemical triethanolamine, which was detected at trace levels in various clothing samples belonging to alleged victims and in grouting from the tunnel beneath the hospital (Location 1); and (b) a Schedule 2.B. 04 chemical known as "AmgardV19" which was detected at trace levels in one item of clothing of one alleged victim. The presence and concentration of both chemicals are readily explained given their common use in surfactant and flame retardant formulations in textiles. ${ }^{10}$
8.8 Other compounds detected across a broad range of samples included 2,4,6-trinitrotoluene (TNT), chlorinated derivatives of acetic acid, various mono-, diand trichlorophenols and chloral hydrate. All wood samples showed varying amounts of bornyl chloride or alpha-pinene (or both). ${ }^{11}$
8.9 Although chlorine decomposes rapidly in the environment, the gas itself or its decomposition products are known to react with a variety of other chemicals in the environment, including organic materials and metals. Such products can be quite stable and therefore can provide long-lived chemical signatures of chlorine exposure. The presence of chlorine-reactive species is based primarily on the detection of bornyl chloride and/or trichlorophenol in the wood samples. Bornyl chloride is a chemically-stable chlorinated derivative of alpha-pinene, a common terpene-type compound found mainly in coniferous wood [1]. When exposed to chlorine, alpha-pinene can be converted to bornyl chloride which is a chemical not naturally present in the environment. Although molecular chlorine (chlorine gas) does not react directly with alpha-pinene, hydrogen chloride, a decomposition product of molecular chlorine, is known to readily react with it to generate bornyl chloride [1] [2].Two of the wood samples collected at the alleged sites showed the presence of bornyl chloride.
8.10 Based on these findings alone, it cannot be unequivocally stated that the wood was exposed to chlorine gas, rather than to hydrogen chloride or hydrochloric acid. Other chemicals such as phosgene or cyanogen chloride, which also decompose to give hydrogen chloride or hydrochloric acid, also could theoretically give rise to bornyl chloride from interaction with alpha-pinene in the wood.
8.11 In all wood samples analysed, an analogue of phenol, trichlorophenol was also detected. Like bornyl chloride, this compound is not naturally present in wood; and, in experiments conducted by one designated laboratory, the chlorinated phenol could be generated by exposing wood samples to chlorine gas.
8.12 One of the methods by which phenol can undergo ring chlorination is through a process known as electrophilic aromatic substitution with hypochlorous acid, a disproportionation product of molecular chlorine [3]. Hydrochloric acid, the decomposition product of phosgene and cyanogen chloride, on the other hand, does not chlorinate phenols and consequently neither phosgene nor cyanogen chloride should give rise to the trichlorophenol found in the samples. This observation would tend to confirm that the toxic chemical containing reactive chlorine was neither phosgene nor cyanogen chloride, at least not as the only chemical present.
8.13 It should be noted that phenol can also be chlorinated to trichlorophenol with sodium hypochlorite, the main component of chlorine-based bleach [4] [5].
8.14 In addition to bornyl chloride and trichlorophenol being detected in the wood samples, various other chlorinated compounds such as di and trichloroacetic acid as well as chloral hydrate were found in soil, concrete, wood and textile samples taken at the alleged incident sites. These are all compounds that are not generally present naturally in the environment and can be generated from reaction with active chlorine species (e.g., molecular chlorine, hypochlorous acid, sodium hypochlorite or chlorine-based bleaching agents) [5]. Studies have demonstrated that, when humic material in soil or sewage, for example, is mixed with active chlorine solutions, various chlorinated acetic acids, chloroaldehydes chlorinated phenols, among others, are formed [5]. Many such compounds were detected in the samples analysed.
8.15 The findings discussed in paragraphs 8.9 to 8.14 indicate that a substance, or a combination of substances (such as molecular chlorine, hypochlorous acid or sodium hypochlorite) containing a reactive chlorine atom was in contact with many of the samples collected at both alleged incident sites (Locations 2 and 4).
8.16 At Location 4, the team observed visible signs of corrosion on the metallic objects present in the apartment, such as the chandelier, the bedside lamps, pipes, and drawer handles, in addition to the cylinder itself, the valve and the harness. The corrosion of all metal objects is a clear indication of their exposure to a corrosive substance. At Location 2, some corroded objects were also observed. However, the FFM team was unable to establish whether the corrosion was related to a corrosive substance or to natural factors. At both locations, there were no visible signs of a bleach agent or discoloration due to contact with a bleach agent.
8.17 Based on the sample analysis and the observation on site, there were reasonable grounds to indicate that the environment in both locations was in contact with molecular chlorine or hypochlorous acid. Knowing that hypochlorous acid is a disproportionation product of molecular chlorine in contact with water, there were reasonable grounds to indicate that molecular chlorine was present first in that environment.
8.18 The analysis results (Annex 5) of the samples taken by the FFM from the cylinders and their proximity to other sampled points exposed to reactive chlorine at both
locations, show higher levels of chloride in addition to the presence of chlorinated organic compounds. ${ }^{12}$
8.19 The analysis of concrete dust sample collected in the vehicles tunnel leading to Point One (Location 1) indicated the presence of three insecticides (Permethrin, Malathion and Deltamethrin), one herbicide (Linuron) and a TNT precursor (Amino dinitrotoluene) in addition to TNT, tri- and tetrachlorophenols. The detected doses of insecticides and herbicides are not toxic for human beings. The type of insecticides and herbicide detected is for agricultural and domestic use. The absence of these substances at Location 2, where dead bodies were found, excludes a link between them and the allegation.

## Physical data collection

8.20 Aside from sampling, a large volume of information was gathered by the FFM team and included photographs, video recordings, detection measurements, dimensions of the cylinders and attached metallic structure, and the spatial arrangement in the environment of the cylinders.

## Location 2 ("Cylinder on the Roof")

8.21 The team deployed to Location 2 ( $\mathrm{N} 33^{\circ} 34^{\prime} 25.6^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E} 36^{\circ} 24^{\prime} 17.3^{\prime \prime}$ ) on 21 April 2018. Further details of the findings and analysis are contained in Annex 6.
8.22 During the visit to Location 2, Syrian Arab Republic representatives did not provide the access requested by the FFM team to some apartments of interest within the building, which were closed at the time. The Syrian Arab Republic representatives stated that they did not have the authority to force entry into the locked apartments. This situation was reported to OPCW Headquarters during the post-deployment debriefing that same evening.
8.23 The FFM had full access to other areas of interest within the same building, namely the balcony where the cylinder had allegedly impacted, the apartment directly below this, and the basement of the same apartment block.

## Discussion 1: Description of Location 2 as observed by the team

8.24 The apartment block at Location 2 comprises five levels, namely a basement, ground, first, second and third floor. Access to each floor from the main entrance at ground level is through a central staircase that ascends counter-clockwise, with two sets of stairs and landings on each level. On the first landing of each floor, with the exception of the top floor, there is an apartment on the right and another on the left. The top floor has just one large apartment. Each level on the staircase has a tall glass-shattered window facing onto the street.
8.25 The central staircase does not descend into the basement and access can only be gained through an independent entrance at street level. Just below the ceiling at each end of the basement, located at either side of the entrance, there are two narrow
windows that open to the exterior, just above street pavement level. Inside the basement there was-what seems to be-a narrow ventilation pipe, though it was not clear to where this tube ventilated.
8.26 The cylinder was located on the floor of the roof terrace, on the third floor, on the east side of the building, with its nozzle poised over a circular opening in the concrete. The roof terrace where the cylinder was observed corresponds to the ceiling of a room in an apartment on the second floor.
8.27 The following three dimensional layouts of the apartment block depict the spatial relationship between the alleged point of impact of the cylinder and the rooms where fallen victims of the alleged chemical attack were located according to the videos provided by witnesses and their accounts.

FIGURE 6: 3D LAYOUT OF LOCATION 2 WITH DISTRIBUTION OF ROOMS AND REPORTED LOCATIONS OF ALLEGED VICTIMS


Discussion 2: Analysis of the ballistic effects of the cylinder found on the roof terrace at Location 2
8.28 The FFM team took numerous photos of the cylinder on the roof terrace, the aperture, the terrace and its surroundings, and the room directly beneath the aperture. The team noted the dimensions of the aperture in the rebar-reinforced concrete roof, as well as the damage to the cylinder itself.
8.29 The team analysed the available material and consulted independent experts in mechanical engineering, ballistics and metallurgy who utilised specialised computer modelling techniques to provide a qualified competent assessment of the trajectory and damage to the cylinders found at Location 2.
8.30 The expert provided reports and numerical simulations on the impact of steel cylinders against reinforced concrete slabs, reflecting the scenes found in Douma by the FFM team. The analyses include general descriptions, geometrical data, trajectory calculations, empirical calculations and numerical simulations. Furthermore, the experts used different methodologies and approaches during the analyses in order to produce more comprehensive results. Several types of proprietary, commercial and referenced/recognised software were used for the numerical simulations (Annex 12).
8.31 The analyses indicated that the structural damage to the rebar-reinforced concrete terrace at Location 2 was caused by an impacting object with a geometrically symmetric shape and sufficient kinetic energy to cause the observed damage. The analyses indicate that the damage observed on the cylinder found on the roof terrace, the aperture, the balcony, the surrounding rooms, and the rooms underneath and the structure above, is consistent with the creation of the aperture observed in the terrace by the cylinder found in that location.

## Location 4 ("Cylinder in the Bedroom")

8.32 The team deployed to Location 4 ( $\mathrm{N} 33^{\circ} 34^{\prime} 20.5^{\prime}$, E $36^{\circ} 24^{\prime} 02.8^{\prime}$ ') on 25 April, where they also took photos, measurements, and detection readings. In addition, they gathered a broad selection of samples. Photos and measurements were taken of the roof terrace where the cylinder is alleged to have penetrated and the room below where it supposedly reached its final position. Further details of the findings and analysis are contained in Annex 7.
8.33 From what the team observed, there did not appear to be any leakage from the cylinder at the time the team visited the location. The team noted that a slat of wood was lying under the cylinder on the bed, part of which was taken as a sample. The slat of wood was damp and softened. No chlorine gas was detected in the room by the detection equipment used by the team. The laboratory analysis showed that the wood sample had the highest content of chlorinated organic compounds of all wood samples taken.

FIGURE 7: COMPUTER-GENERATED VIEW OF THE APERTURE ON THE ROOF TERRACE


FIGURE 8: COMPUTER-GENERATED VIEW OF THE TERRACE WITH THE APERTURE FROM THE ROOF OF ADJACENT BUILDING


FIGURE 9: COMPUTER-GENERATED VIEW OF THE ROOF TERRACE WITH THE APERTURE AND THE NEIGHBOURING BUILDING


FIGURE 10: COMPUTER MODULATION OF THE APERTURE AND CYLINDER IMPACT


FIGURE 11: VIEW OF THE BEDROOM AND CYLINDER POSITION

8.34 The team consulted experts in mechanical engineering, ballistics and metallurgy to provide qualified, competent assessments of the cylinder trajectory. The results of these assessments indicated that the shape of the aperture produced in the modulation matched the shape and damage observed by the team. The assessments further indicated that, after passing through the ceiling and impacting the floor at lower speed, the cylinder continued altered trajectory, until reaching the position in which it was found.

FIGURE 12: DIAGRAM DEMONSTRATING THE POSSIBLE MOVEMENT OF THE CYLINER AT LOW SPEED

8.35 In a similar manner, the FFM assessed the consistency between the structural damage appearing on the cylinder against the structural damage to the rebar-reinforced
concrete roof through which the cylinder allegedly traversed. Results are presented in Figures 13 and 14.

FIGURE 13: COMPUTER-MODULATED DAMAGE TO THE CYLINDER WHILE PASSING THROUGH THE ROOF


FIGURE 14: DAMAGE OBSERVED ON THE CYLINDER


Location 1 (hospital)
8.36 The FFM team visited Location 1 on 1 May 2018. The hospital, which is located at coordinates $\mathrm{N} 33^{\circ} 34^{\prime} 27.4^{\prime \prime}$, E $36^{\circ} 24^{\prime} 25.2^{\prime \prime}$, operates in the basement of a multi-storey building. The facility, as the team was informed, has a staff of about 200 and was conducting regular activities at the time the team visited. The facility includes an operation room, a recovery room, wards, intensive care units, a laboratory, and a pharmacy. The hospital is connected to an underground tunnel.
8.37 The FFM team requested information about procedures related to deceased patients in the hospital. They were informed that deceased patients normally would be taken to
"Point 200", a room used as a morgue inside the hospital, where they would be collected by the local council. Subsequent information from witnesses indicated that the Syrian Civil Defence (SCD) assisted in this task.
8.38 The team was taken to the tunnel that had appeared in videos and photographs showing bodies that were reportedly the result of the alleged chemical attack, together with victims of conventional bombing. At the time of the visit of the FFM team, there were no bodies in the area of the tunnel. Samples for analysis were collected in the tunnel following the sampling plan, but no chemicals relevant to the allegation were found.

Warehouse and facility suspected by the authorities of the Syrian Arab Republic of producing chemical weapons
8.39 At the warehouse and the facility suspected by the authorities of the Syrian Arab Republic of producing chemical weapons in Douma, information was gathered to assess whether these facilities were associated with the production of chemical weapons or toxic chemicals that could be used as weapons. From the information gathered during the two on-site visits to these locations, there was no indication of either facility being involved in the production of chemical warfare agents or toxic chemicals to be used as weapons.
8.40 The collected information indicated that both facilities were related to the production of explosives. This conclusion was based on the fact that virtually all the chemicals present were common precursors for explosives manufacture and neither facility had the raw materials or the appropriate equipment to manufacture chemical weapons, particularly nerve agents or vesicants. Full details are provided in Annex 8.

## Interviews

8.41 Interviews were held with a total of 39 witnesses, 13 of which were conducted in Damascus. A breakdown of the profiles of the interviewees is given in Table 2.

TABLE 2: PROFILES OF INTERVIEWEES

|  | Interviewee | Male | Female | Primary <br> Casualty | Secondary <br> Casualty |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Treating <br> physician | 4 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Medical <br> support staff | 7 | 6 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| Witness | 28 | 26 | 2 | 9 | 1 |
| Sampler | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total | 39 | 36 | 3 | 10 | 1 |

8.42 Of the 39 interviewees, 11 were alleged casualties. Ten of those were alleged primary casualties exposed to a toxic chemical at Location 2, buildings adjacent to Location 2, at the entrance of the vehicle-tunnel of Point One and other locations in the same area, approximately 160 meters south of Location 2 (See Figures 4 and 5). One person purportedly suffered secondary exposure from the bodies of the decedents.
8.43 The following is a composite summary of the statements from witnesses interviewed by the FFM team. ${ }^{13}$
8.44 A military campaign took place in Douma from approximately 16:00 on Friday, 6 April 2018 until the morning of Sunday 8 April 2018. During this period, witnesses stated that most families gathered to take shelter in the basements of houses and/or buildings across the residential area of Douma. Witnesses stated that 1,000 to 1,500 people were taking shelter at Rif Dimashq Specialized Hospital, also known as Point One (Location 1). Three above-ground floors had been fortified with sand, according to witness statements, allowing the hospital to be used as a shelter.
8.45 The medical point was described as being composed of two separate buildings, both consisting of multiple levels. The basement of one building hosted the emergency department and the basement of the other, the surgical department. According to the description of witnesses, vehicles could reach the emergency department through an underground tunnel. The entrance of this tunnel is located approximately 150 meters southwest of Point One and approximately 50 meters east of Location 2. From its entrance, the tunnel extends under Martyrs Square and connects to the emergency department of Point One (see Figure 4). Part of the ground floor of Point One was reported to be used by the SCD for the shrouding of the deceased.
8.46 On 7 April, physicians were receiving and treating trauma patients. The hospital was understaffed because many physicians and medical support staff had evacuated to the north a few days earlier. Therefore, on that day, many volunteers were assisting the hospital staff.
8.47 Prior to the military campaign, the SCD was in charge of burying the deceased in coordination with the local council. A number of witnesses reported that they were unaware of the location of the burial sites.
8.48 Medical staff interviewed by the FFM team members provided their account of events at the hospital on that day. A number of these witnesses reported that there were many fatalities caused by suffocation from dust and rubble as a consequence of the heavy shelling. The number of deaths was exacerbated by the absence of ambulance and rescue services.
8.49 Shortly after 19:00, 10 to 20 patients, including children and adults, arrived in groups at the emergency department of Douma Hospital covered in dust and with blackened faces. They had respiratory difficulties that included dyspnoea, coughing and asthmatic exacerbation secondary to exposure to smoke and dust. Staff from other medical points close to Douma hospital also stated that they received casualties with similar signs and symptoms.
8.50 A witness reported that he was asked at the emergency department to help hospital staff to wash casualties and, while performing this task, a man who was not from the hospital entered, shouting "Chemical! Chemical!" and panic ensued. Bystanders then began undressing and washing people and proceeded to give inappropriate treatment.
8.51 The medical staff treated casualties with salbutamol, dexamethasone and oxygen and discharged all casualties by 01:00 on 8 April 2018. On that day, casualties were not registered due to lack of staff.
8.52 The witnesses also noted that the heavy shelling resulted in several fires, dust and smoke in Douma. It is also common practice to burn wood, rubber or plastic for heating and cooking inside basements. Some of the medical staff who were interviewed did not hear about the alleged chemical attack from videos circulating on the internet or from other people until a couple of days after the alleged attack on 7 April.
8.53 Some witnesses stated that many people died in the hospital on 7 April as result of the heavy shelling and/or suffocation due to inhalation of smoke and dust. As many as 50 bodies were lying on the floor of the emergency department awaiting burial. Others stated that there were no fatalities in Douma Hospital on 7 April and that no bodies were brought to the hospital that day.
8.54 A number of the interviewed medical staff who were purportedly present in the emergency department on 7 April emphasised that the presentation of the casualties was not consistent with that expected from a chemical attack. They also reported not having experience in the treatment of casualties of chemical weapons. Some interviewees stated that no odour emanated from the patients, while other witnesses declared that they perceived a smell of smoke on the patients' clothes.
8.55 Other medical staff stated that, at around 16:00 on 7 April, an estimated 15 to 18 casualties with difficulty breathing arrived at the SCD Centre located in Sector 3 (see Figure 5). According to the witnesses, an attack with chlorine had taken place in close proximity to this centre (see Figure 5). Other witnesses located at Point One were notified of a chemical attack around the same time by the SCD. No casualties were reported at Point One from this incident. The casualties were washed with water and treated with salbutamol at the SCD Centre.
8.56 Shortly after sunset, medical staff members at Point One were notified of an alleged chemical attack. At the emergency department, casualties began arriving shortly after 19:00 with excess salivation or foaming from the mouth, difficulty breathing, coughing, and irritation of the upper respiratory tract. Some casualties were reported to have suffered loss of consciousness. They were reportedly washed by a volunteer, undressed and treated by the medical staff with oxygen, bronchodilators (salbutamol), and intravenous fluids; some were given atropine.
8.57 The three bodies that reached Point One on the night of 7 April had profuse foaming from the mouth, pale colour of the skin and a strong odour emanating from their clothes. The 40 bodies taken to Point One on the morning of 8 April arrived in groups, transported by the SCD. They were described as having a blue colour of the skin and foaming from the mouth; some had dust on their clothes. The bodies with a similar odour to those mentioned above were buried later the same day.
8.58 Later on, the FFM team members interviewed alleged casualties, first responders and witnesses. The witnesses located in multiple basements used as shelters within a 350 meter distance southwest of Point One stated that at approximately 19:00, while there was still ambient light, the sound of what was described as barrels falling and the
sound of barrels, rockets or projectile impacts were heard. Two of them reportedly did not explode (or the sound of the explosion was mild in comparison to a conventional explosion); and, shortly after, the smell of chlorine was perceived in several basements located within the above-mentioned area.
8.59 The smell was described as being similar to cleaning products containing chlorine and local commercial brands, such as "Clor" and "Flash", were mentioned. They added that the odour was significantly stronger, more pungent and acidic than the cleaning products. Other witnesses described a strong unpleasant smell that was not similar to chlorine and caused shortness of breath, fatigue and blurred vision. The smell of chlorine was also mentioned to be present at Point One around the same time.
8.60 Witnesses recounted that, as soon as they perceived the odour, they developed difficulty breathing, eye irritation, severe coughing, nausea, vomiting, weakness, visual impairment, and excess salivation. People located in basements attempted to go upstairs or leave the buildings, despite the intensive shelling. Several witnesses reported covering their mouths and noses with a wet cloth to protect their airways and trying to rescue others. According to witnesses, they self-extricated or were helped by family members and neighbours to go upstairs in search of fresh air, to go outside towards the west where the smell was less intense, or to go to Point One. According to statements, the Red Crescent, SCD and rescuers from the medical point could not respond immediately due to the intense shelling taking place at the time and because rescue vehicles were out of service.
8.61 Some witnesses reported seeing a yellow to green cloud or smoke, and one witness described it as a green colour in the atmosphere. This cloud was witnessed on the streets in close proximity to the vehicle entrance of the tunnel leading to the emergency department of Point One and on the ground floor of Location 2.
8.62 As reported by witnesses, most casualties who reached the roof or went towards the west, away from Point One, survived. Other casualties who reportedly stayed inside buildings or basements, or who tried to go towards the entrance of the tunnel leading to Point One, died. Witness accounts place the deceased lying on the stairs, inside apartments on multiple levels of Location 2, inside basements of neighbouring buildings across the area, on rooftops and on the streets. Additionally, a witness stated that six casualties died at Point One.
8.63 Witnesses stated that the SCD was notified of the incident between 19:30 and 20:00 on 7 April, but was not able to reach Location 2 until shortly after 21:00 due to the intensity of the shelling. The SCD proceeded to rescue survivors and saw many dead bodies inside Location 2 and on the streets. The bodies had copious secretions from the mouth and cyanosis. It was stated that the SCD managed to rescue 20 to 25 casualties from adjacent buildings who were then transported to Point One. Meanwhile, most casualties had self-extricated. The SCD also transported three deceased to Point One but were advised by hospital staff not to bring additional deceased in order to avoid secondary contamination.
8.64 According to statements, when the SCD arrived at Location 2, there was a strong and unpleasant odour, similar to chlorine. It was reportedly stronger in the basement and ground floor and they were unable to stay inside for more than few minutes.
8.65 Some witnesses reported seeing a yellow cylinder on the terrace of the third floor apartment at Location 2 on the night of 7 April. The presence of a strong odour prevented anyone without respiratory protection from approaching. During the following days, the location was not secured and many had access to the top floor of the building and subsequently to the cylinder itself.
8.66 The cylinder was described by witnesses as a yellow "barrel" or "rocket" with dimensions of approximately 1.5 by 0.4 meters. It was lying at an angle, with its nozzle side in the aperture in the floor of the terrace, which corresponds to the ceiling of the room beneath.
8.67 Witnesses recounted that the SCD kept bodies inside Location 2 until approximately 9:00 on 8 April, when the shelling ceased. First responders removed the bodies from the building and laid them on the street, in front of the building. The bodies were doused with water and taken to Point One to be prepared for burial. According to several witness reports, the total number of dead from this incident was 43 . The total number of casualties was difficult to estimate, as many did not go immediately to the medical point but were washed and assisted elsewhere, either at the Red Crescent facility, the SCD Centre or private residences. Another witness reported 70 patients related to suspected chemical exposure at Point One.
8.68 Three casualties stated that another device had landed and released chlorine gas in front of their house approximately 50 to 60 meters from the basement at Location 2. Additionally, several witnesses stated that they perceived the odour of a chemical at different locations within 250 meters southwest of Point One.
8.69 At approximately 22:30 on 7 April, first responders were notified of the presence of another yellow cylinder in a residential building (identified as Location 4 by the FFM team) close to the Great Mosque. A witness arrived at this location at approximately midnight on 7 April. The cylinder was on a bed inside a top-floor apartment and a strong odour was described as being similar to chlorine. The witness recounted that there was an aperture in the roof where the cylinder (reportedly 1.5 by 0.5 meter) was thought to have entered the room. The witness stated that the cylinder was leaking gas and that he/she was unable to stay in the room due to the strong odour. Two people were reportedly affected after visiting this location. The alleged casualties stated that they suffered from a burning sensation in the eyes, lacrimation, coughing and vomiting.

## EPIDEMIOLOGICAL ANALYSIS

## Epidemiological methodology

8.70 Epidemiological determination of cause and effect was established according to the following criteria:

- there must be a biologically plausible link between exposure and outcome;
- there must be a temporal relationship between exposure and outcome; and
- there must not be any likely alternative explanation for the symptoms.
8.71 An epidemiological investigation includes: a review of all the documentation related to an alleged incident; an epidemiological description of the incident; interviews with witnesses, healthcare workers, and first responders; first-hand interviews with survivors; and on-site assessments of symptoms and signs, including assessments of the clinical severity of their syndromes. Further information regarding the treatment and outcomes of persons exposed should be retrieved from medical files relating to the time of the incident and from interviews with the treating clinicians. The epidemiological investigation should yield information about the scale of each event and provide contextual and geographical information that should subsequently be cross-checked and corroborated by the environmental sampling teams [7].
8.72 The FFM interviewed four physicians, seven medical support staff and 28 witnesses/casualties.
8.73 The FFM could not establish the precise number of casualties; however, some sources ${ }^{14}$ reported that it ranged between 70 and 500. Others sources ${ }^{15}$ denied the presence of chemically-related casualties.
8.74 The number of dead in relation to alleged chemical exposure is reported by a number of witnesses to be 43 , distributed between male, female, adults and children.


## Medical personnel

8.75 Patient admission and treatment records were not maintained during the incident and severity, age and gender details of those casualties that survived were not available at the time of the interviews. Ninety casualties were reported to be admitted (four of which were paediatrics) subsequent to an alleged chemical attack.
8.76 Some casualties were described as bearing a non-specific odour on their clothing and were undressed and washed with water prior to entry to Point One.
8.77 Detailed physical examinations were not performed due to the number of casualties who were treated and any clinical signs noted were incidental.
8.78 According to medical staff accounts, a majority of the casualties were described as having mild signs and symptoms of exposure and were ambulatory. Moderate and severe casualties were non-ambulatory, were described as having altered mental state, and were assisted to the emergency department.
8.79 Broadly, patients were reported to display shortness of breath, burning sensation in the chest, oral hypersecretion or foaming, and ocular irritation. Additional complaints were visual disturbances, lacrimation, dysphonia, nausea, vomiting and pruritus. A non-specific number of patients classified as severe manifested with seizure activity described as flexion of arms and wrists. Medical personnel reported the absence of any signs of external trauma.
8.80 An unknown number of patients were reported to have manifested either miosis or mydriasis. Although interviewed medical support staff or physicians did not directly observe miosis, one support staff stated that four casualties who were classified as severe were directly observed to be presenting with mydriasis.
8.81 Depending on perceived severity, patients were treated with salbutamol via inhaler or nebuliser, corticosteroids and atropine. Treatment with oxygen was sporadic due to limited availability. A non-specific number received treatment with airway adjuncts or endotracheal intubation.
8.82 Although some patients received atropine, survived, and were discharged, there was no reported correlation between its administration and clinical improvement associated with its administration in the context of organophosphorus intoxication.
8.83 All treatment was reported to be based upon observed signs and symptoms. No diagnostic tests were performed on any casualty. No discharge or patient follow-up information was available at the time of the interviews.
8.84 Three deceased persons who were taken to Point One on the night of 7 April were described as having profound oral secretions or foaming, paleness of the skin and a strong, non-specific odour emanating from their clothes.
8.85 The 40 deceased persons taken to Point One on the morning of 8 April were transported there in groups by the SCD. They were described as having a blue colour of the skin, oral hypersecretion or foaming; some had dust on their clothes.
8.86 The FFM notes that the determination of the severity of signs and symptoms depends on the assessment made by the particular doctor and/or medical support staff and is not necessarily comparable to the determination made by others.

## Description of casualties in digital sources

8.87 The FFM consulted with four toxicologists and one toxicologist and medical doctor, all versed in chemical weapons or toxic industrial chemical exposure.
8.88 Understanding that many of the same sources of information are available online, material reviewed by the FFM was provided to the FFM by casualties, witnesses and medical staff. Only digital information that contained metadata was evaluated for the purposes of this report.
8.89 The FFM analysed multiple digital videos and still photographs of alleged victims. The videos and photographs appear to have been taken at Location 1, the SCD Centre; inside Location 2, in the street in front of the building; and at what is reported to be a preparation point for the deceased at Point One (Location 1). The digital videos and still photographs depict both living casualties and decedents. The videos and photos in the building and outside the building appear to have been taken during the night as well as by day. The videos and photos at the medical treatment facility were taken on the night of 7 April 2018.
8.90 Videos taken inside Location 2 were recorded between 13 and 16 hours after the reported time of the incident, based on retrieved metadata (Annex 11). They show
approximately 20 people (male, female, adults and children/infants) lying in several rooms (on the floor and furniture) and some lying on top of one another. All the subjects in the video appear dead. One female victim displays corneal opacity. Due to the quality of the videos and the angles of recording, no further ocular signs are noted. Several victims have some degree of thoracic or cervical extension. Many of the victims present with white, foam-like oral and nasal secretions, similar in appearance to fulminate pulmonary oedema but in multiple cases much more profound and seemingly persistent. The secretions are near their mouths, noses and on the floor. Some of the secretions also have an additional light brown colour, which is similar in appearance to gastric contents or blood tinged sputum. When comparing adult and paediatric groups, there does not appear to be any correlation in secretion presence, absence or amount. In one single case, possible urinary incontinence is visible on an adolescent female. No faecal incontinence is noted in any of the victims. Several victims display degrees of periorbital discoloration and early signs of livor mortis. In another video, many of the victims seem to have been moved to one room in the same building; and, in one case, an adolescent male displays obvious signs of rigor mortis. Many of the victims appear to have wet hair in what seems to be an otherwise dry environment. There are no visible signs of external trauma.
8.91 Videos taken outside the building during the day were recorded approximately 13 hours after the reported time of the incident, based on retrieved metadata. The video taken outside the building during the night depicts what appear to be four adults lying on the ground in close proximity to an entrance to the building. The daylight video shows many of the same victims who were seen inside the building, as well as others not seen previously, being extracted from the building, doused with water from an SCD firefighting vehicle, and placed in what appears to be civilian vehicles for removal. Some victims cannot be seen, as they are wrapped or covered with carpets or blankets. Those victims who were visible display advanced or complete rigor mortis and have more advanced signs of livor mortis. The video was recorded from a distance of approximately one to five meters and further victim details cannot be clearly seen. There are no visible signs of external trauma.
8.92 Still photographs were taken inside and outside the building and at the medical facility. The majority of the photos are of women and children and show facial close ups of the same victims depicted in the videos. Many of the victims exhibit the same airway secretions seen in the videos and, where the faces can be clearly seen, all display corneal opacity and varying degrees of periorbital discoloration. One photo shows a close up of an adult male's face that is covered in what appears to be grey dust or dirt and copious, foam-like airway secretions and blood on his face. There are no further signs of external trauma noted on the victims.
8.93 Photos from the medical facility show children being either washed with water or treated with an oxygen mask. None appear to be ill.
8.94 A video reportedly taken at the SCD Centre shows a male child, approximately five years of age, who is displaying obvious objective signs of respiratory distress with laboured breathing and accessory muscle use. He is being treated with a small volume nebuliser via mask.
8.95 A video taken at the medical treatment facility depicts approximately 20 people (males, females, adults and children) being treated in what appears to be a temporary facility. Some videos contained metadata and were recorded approximately three hours after the reported time of incident. Simple decontamination procedures (washing with water) are carried out on a number of adults and two to three children roughly three to five years of age. Any distress displayed is noted to be mild. There are three young children of approximately 12 to 18 months of age (one male, one female and one of unidentified gender), each of whom is displaying objective signs of respiratory distress manifesting as laboured breathing and accessory muscle use. None appear to be cyanotic. One (male) child is intubated and seen to be receiving manual ventilation and later mechanical ventilation. The other (unidentified gender) child is seated partially upright with an adult and is being treated with a simple oxygen mask. The third (female) child is unresponsive with accessory muscle use, sluggish pupils and miosis estimated to be approximately three millimetres in diameter. She displays no objective signs of hypoxia. Multiple children are seen being treated with an unknown medication via a metered dose inhaler or small volume nebuliser. The adults and remaining children being treated in the video show signs of mild respiratory distress and coughing. No critically ill patients are seen aside from those paediatric patients previously described. There are no visible signs of external trauma.

## Analysis of digital information and its relation to toxic chemical exposure

8.96 The location, positions and lack of visible trauma on the victims in the videos taken inside the building indicate exposure to a rapidly incapacitating or a highly toxic substance. The victims do not appear to have been in the midst of attempting self-extrication or respiratory protection when they collapsed, indicating a very rapid or instant onset. This type of rapid collapse is indicative of an agent capable of quickly killing or immobilising.
8.97 The corneal opacity seen in many of the victims is similar to ocular injuries seen with acid or alkali burns but also resembles post-mortem changes. The interval between death and the time the video/photos were taken is quite broad.
8.98 The airway secretions seen in many cases are similar to those seen with exposure to some chemical weapons, toxic industrial chemicals and toxic doses of pharmaceutical agents but are more profound and seem to have a consistency more like viscous foam than secretions typically originating from the upper or lower airways. Notably, there are casualties both with and without secretions that are in very close proximity to one another. In general, the presence and context of the airway secretions indicate exposure to a chemical substance.
8.99 The thoracic and cervical extension seen in many of the victims is similar to that seen in those experiencing preterminal full body seizure activity or opisthotonus. Again, this can be seen in deaths resulting from toxic exposure.
8.100 Regarding the considerations mentioned in paragraphs 8.98 to 8.100 , and in the absence of additional and specific information, the determination of the aetiology from these observations can be related to a wide scope of chemicals [9-12].
8.101 The periorbital discoloration is not associated with any specific known toxic exposure. To determine whether it is due to a physiologic response to exposure to a toxic substance or simply post-mortem changes would require additional steps.
8.102 The presentation of wet hair in an otherwise dry environment is difficult to assess and is possibly due to profound diaphoresis shortly before death.

Onset in relation to the allegation
8.103 Many of the signs and symptoms reported by the medical personnel, witnesses and casualties (as well as those seen in multiple videos provided by witnesses), their rapid onset, and the large number of those reportedly affected, indicate exposure to an inhalational irritant or toxic substance. However, based on the information reviewed and with the absence of bio-medical samples from the dead bodies or any autopsy records, it is not currently possible to precisely link the cause of the signs and symptoms to a specific chemical.

## 9. CONCLUSION OF THE FFM ACTIVITIES

9.1 Based on the levels of chlorinated organic derivatives, detected in several environmental samples gathered at the sites of alleged use of toxic chemicals (Locations 2 and 4), which are not naturally present in the environment, the FFM concludes that the objects from which the samples were taken at both locations had been in contact with one or more substances containing reactive chlorine.
9.2 No organophosphorous nerve agents, their degradation products or synthesis impurities were detected either in environmental samples prioritised for analysis or in plasma samples from alleged casualties.
9.3 Apart from the Schedule 3.B. 17 chemical triethanolamine and a Schedule 2.B. 04 chemical known as "AmgardV19", the presence of which was satisfactorily explained, ${ }^{16}$ no other scheduled chemicals listed in the Annex on Chemicals to the Chemical Weapons Convention, or their degradation products, were detected in the environmental samples analysed.
9.4 From the analysis of the information gathered during the on-site visits to the warehouse and facility suspected of producing chemical weapons, there was no indication of either facility being involved in their manufacture. The information collected indicates that the activities at both locations were mostly related to the production of explosives.
9.5 Witnesses reported to the FFM team that there were 43 decedents in relation to the alleged chemical incident, most of whom were seen in videos and photos strewn on the floor of multiple levels of an apartment building and in front of the same building. Additionally, several witnesses reported seeing decedents in the basement of the building, on multiple floors of the building, on the streets and inside the basements of several buildings within the same area. A United Nations agency also reported cases
of death by exposure to a toxic chemical. ${ }^{17}$ However, the team did not have direct access to examine dead bodies, as it could not enter Douma until two weeks after the incident (see paragraph 2.2), by which time the bodies had been buried.
9.6 Many of the signs and symptoms reported by the medical personnel, witnesses and casualties (as well as those seen in multiple videos provided by witnesses), their rapid onset, and the large number of those reportedly affected, indicate exposure to an inhalational irritant or toxic substance. However, based on the information reviewed and in the absence of biomedical samples from the dead bodies or any autopsy records, it is currently not possible to precisely link the cause of the signs and symptoms to a specific chemical.
9.7 Two yellow industrial cylinders dedicated for pressurised gas with dimensions of approximately $1.4 \times 0.4$ meters were observed by the FFM team at two separate locations (Locations 2 and 4). ${ }^{18}$
9.8 The team analysed the available material and consulted independent experts in mechanical engineering, ballistics and metallurgy who utilised specialised computer modelling techniques to provide qualified and competent assessments of the trajectory and damage to the cylinders found at Locations 2 and 4.
9.9 The analyses indicated that the structural damage to the rebar-reinforced concrete terrace at Location 2 was caused by an impacting object with a geometrically symmetric shape and sufficient kinetic energy to cause the observed damage. The analyses indicate that the damage observed on the cylinder found on the roof-top terrace, the aperture, the balcony, the surrounding rooms, the rooms underneath and the structure above, is consistent with the creation of the aperture observed in the terrace by the cylinder found in that location.
9.10 At Location 4, the results of the studies indicated that the shape of the aperture produced in the modulation matched the shape and damage observed by the team. The studies further indicated that, after passing through the ceiling and impacting the floor at lower speed, the cylinder continued an altered trajectory, until reaching the position in which it was found.
9.11 Based on the analysis results of the samples taken by the FFM from the cylinders, their proximity at both locations, as well as the analysis results of the samples mentioned under paragraph 2.6 , it is possible that the cylinders were the source of the substances containing reactive chlorine. ${ }^{19}$
9.12 Regarding the alleged use of toxic chemicals as a weapon on 7 April 2018 in Douma, the Syrian Arab Republic, the evaluation and analysis of all the information gathered by the FFM-witnesses' testimonies, environmental and biomedical samples analysis results, toxicological and ballistic analyses from experts, additional digital information from witnesses-provide reasonable grounds that the use of a toxic

[^4]chemical as a weapon took place. This toxic chemical contained reactive chlorine. The toxic chemical was likely molecular chlorine.
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## Annex 1

## REFERENCE DOCUMENTATION

|  | Document Reference | Full title of Document |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1. | QDOC/INS/SOP/IAU01 <br> (Issue 1, Revision 1) | Standard Operating Procedure for Evidence Collection, <br> Documentation, Chain-of-Custody and Preservation <br> during an Investigation of Alleged Use of Chemical <br> Weapons |
| 2. | QDOC/INS/WI/IAU05 <br> (Issue 1, Revision 2) | Work Instruction for Conducting Interviews during an <br> Investigation of Alleged Use |
| 3. | QDOC/INS/SOP/IAU02 <br> (Issue 1, Revision 0) | Standard Operating Procedure <br> Investigation of Alleged Use (IAU) Operations |
| 4. | QDOC/INS/SOP/GG011 <br> (Issue 1, Revision 0) | Standard Operating Procedure for Managing Inspection <br> Laptops and other Confidentiality Support Materials |
| 5. | QDOC/LAB/SOP/OSA2 <br> (Issue 1, Revision 2) | Standard Operating Procedure for Off-Site Analysis of <br> Authentic Samples |
| 6. | QDOC/LAB/WI/CS01 <br> (Issue 1, Revision 2) | Work Instruction for Handling of Authentic Samples <br> from Inspection Sites and Packing Off-Site Samples at <br> the OPCW Laboratory |
| 7. | QDOC/LAB/WI/OSA3 <br> (Issue 2, Revision 1) | Work Instruction for Chain of Custody and <br> Documentation for OPCW Samples On-Site |
| 8. | QDOC/LAB/WI/OSA4 <br> (Issue 1, Revision 3) | Work Instruction for Packing of Off-Site Samples |

## Annex 2

## OPEN SOURCES

Open source internet links related to the incident in Douma on 07 April 2018

1. Video of alleged victims of alleged chemical attack:
https://edition.cnn.com/2018/04/07/middleeast/syria-suspected-chemical-attack/index.html
2. Press conference by The Russian Federation Delegation, held at OPCW HQ in presence of alleged witnesses: https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=FF9KPKK2ARc
3. Online Article regarding Douma: http://www.heraldsun.com.au/news/breaking-news/syria-denies-chemical-attacks-on-douma/news-story/ddd7bfdc568594195f594f653ecab59f
4. Video of alleged casualties and victims: https://www.aljazeera.com/news/2018/04/suspected-chemical-attack-kills-dozens-syria-douma-180407202906316.html
5. Video of alleged victims at Location 2: https://youtu.be/m41kf1SNcJI
6. Video of alleged casualties at hospital: https://youtu.be/KpwcV0sup_o
7. Video of alleged victims at Location 2: https://youtu.be/8TElceE3aLI
8. Video of alleged victims at Location 2:
https://twitter.com/inegazili/status/982850611665428480
9. Tweet of photos of alleged victims at Location 2: https://twitter.com/Common_Mohammad/status/982854571952431104
10. Tweet of photos of alleged casualties: https://twitter.com/KokachOmar/status/982851902223286272
11. Tweet of photos of alleged casualties: https://twitter.com/KokachOmar/status/982851294154108929
12. Video of alleged casualties at hospital: https://youtu.be/-VmqS8786Q8
13. Tweet of photos of alleged casualties and victims: https://twitter.com/Charles Lister/status/982714880154365952
14. Online Article about conflict in Douma: https://www.aljazeera.com/news/2018/04/syrian-forces-press-offernsive-rebel-held-douma-180407135235699.html
15. Facebook post about Douma: https://m.facebook.com/story.php?story_fbid=1739236919490549\&id=111632495584341\&r efid=52\&_tn_=-R
16. Tweet regarding alleged victims at Location 2: https://twitter.com/SyriaCivilDef/status/982623580180635648
17. Tweet of photos of alleged casualties: https://twitter.com/talentosprecato/status/982619592458752001

Open source internet links related to the incident in Douma on 07 April 2018
18. Tweet about alleged attack in Douma:
https://twitter.com/Elizrael/status/982640972218675202
19. Tweet of photos of alleged casualties:
https://twitter.com/SiegeUpdates/status/982630326387335170
20. Tweet of photos of alleged casualties:
https://twitter.com/FSAPlatform/status/982627437082218496
21. Tweet about alleged chemical attack: https://twitter.com/HusamHezaber/status/982626159518277633
22. Video about alleged casualties at hospital: http://www.bbc.com/news/world-middle-east$\underline{43686157}$
23. Online Article regarding alleged chemical attack: https://www.sams-usa.net/press_release/sams-syria-civil-defense-condemn-chemical-attack-douma/
24. Online Article regarding alleged chemical attack: http://www.syriahr.com/en/?p=88799
25. Tweet of SCD statement: https://twitter.com/SyriaCivilDef/status/982976756163514368
26. Online Article regarding alleged evacuation of Douma: https://www.reuters.com/article/us-mideast-crisis-syria-deals/hostages-and-rebels-leave-douma-under-evacuation-deal-state-media-idUSKBN1HF0XO
27. Online Article regarding alleged evacuation of Douma : https://www.reuters.com/article/us-mideast-crisis-syria-ghouta-negotiati/rebel-fighters-begin-leaving-syrias-douma-after-weeks-long-military-assault-idUSKBN1HF09Z
28. Tweet of video at Location 4: https://twitter.com/AsaadHannaa/status/982998575222312961
29. Online Article regarding alleged evacuation of Douma : http://www.syriahr.com/en/? $\mathrm{p}=88870$
30. Video of alleged victims: https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=PIyGJugmGaI
31. Video of alleged victims: https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=8TElceE3aLI
32. Video of alleged victims at Location 2: https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=LozZlXcYQ9c
33. Video of interview: https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=6F5ZNF8MDIA
34. Video of alleged casualties, video of 11 year old boy: https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=JPFaEG9vJT4
35. Video of alleged victims at Location 2:
$\underline{\text { https://www.youtube.com/watch? } \mathrm{v}=2 \mathrm{mw} 8 \mathrm{DZEiSR} 0 \& f e a t u r e=y o u t u b e . b e ~}$
36. Online Article regarding alleged chemical attack in Douma: https://www.bellingcat.com/news/mena/2018/04/11/open-source-survey-alleged-chemical-

Open source internet links related to the incident in Douma on 07 April 2018
attacks-douma-7th-april-2018/
37. Video regarding alleged production facility:
https://sputniknews.com/middleeast/201804201063754094-russia-syria-douma-militants-lab/
38. Video of alleged victims at Location 2:
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=t99NFiji4Pg\&oref=https\%3A\%2F\%2Fwww.youtube.co m\%2Fwatch\%3Fv\%3Dt99NFijj4Pg\&has_verified=1
39. Video of alleged victims at Location 2:
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=DfQiFEyin 4\&oref=https\%3A\%2F\%2Fwww.youtube.co m\%2Fwatch\%3Fv\%3DDfQiFEyin_4\&has_verified=1
40. Video of alleged victims at Location 2:
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=0K9H8dh12uE\&oref=https\%3A\%2F\%2Fwww.youtube.c om\%2Fwatch\%3Fv\%3D0K9H8dh12uE\&has verified=1
41. Video of alleged victims at Location 2:
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=ajpirYSOoYM\&oref=https\%3A\%2F\%2Fwww.youtube.c om\%2Fwatch\%3Fv\%3DajpirYSOoYM\&has_verified=1
42. Online Article regarding alleged chemical attack in Douma: https://smartnews-agency.com/images/videos/2018/04/08/VNC-SY-180408-286/clip.mp4_1080.mp4

## Annex 3

## MISSION TIMELINE

| Date | Activities |
| :---: | :---: |
| 7 April | Reports of alleged chemical attack in Douma, Syrian Arab Republic. TS Infocell begins immediate collection of open source materials to assess credibility of the allegation. |
| 10 April | Technical Secretariat requests the Syrian Arab Republic, through Note Verbale (NV/ODG/214589), to provide any information it might have regarding the allegation of use of chemical weapons on 7 April 2018 in Douma. |
| 10 April | Permanent Mission of the Syrian Arab Republic requests, through Note Verbale No. 38, that a Fact-Finding Mission be dispatched urgently to visit the city of Douma to verify the information surrounding the alleged use of toxic chemicals on 7 April 2018. |
| 10 April | Permanent Representative of the Russian Federation submits a letter to the OPCW welcoming the request from the Syrian Arab Republic and pledges to facilitate the mission. |
| 10 April | Technical Secretariat informs the Syrian Arab Republic in Note Verbale (NV/ODG/214589) of the intention to deploy an advance team of the OPCW FFM to Damascus on Thursday 12 April 2018. |
| 10 April | Technical Secretariat informs the Syrian Arab Republic in Note Verbale (NV/ODG/214603/18) of its intention to deploy the remaining Team to Damascus on Friday 13 April. |
| 12 April | Advance team arrives in a neighbouring country. |
| 13 April | Advance team discusses logistic arrangements with UNOPS in neighbouring country. |
| 13 April | Advance team joined by the follow-on team. |
| 14 April | Team preparations and meetings in neighbouring country. |
| 14April | FFM departs for Damascus. |
| 14 April | FFM meets with SP representatives for mandate handover, preliminary security discussions and submission of prepared list of questions and requests. |


| Date | Activities |
| :---: | :---: |
| 15 April | Written communication (FFM/05018-DOC 02) from the Director General through the FFM to Syrian Arab Republic representatives conveying his request for the Syrian Arab Republic to expedite security arrangements to facilitate the FFM activities. |
| $\begin{gathered} 15 \text { April - } 12 \\ \text { May } \end{gathered}$ | 34 interviews conducted by FFM, including 13 in Damascus. |
| 16 April | Second element of the FFM deploys from headquarters to conduct further interviews and sampling activities. |
| 16 April | Note Verbale (NV/ODG/18) from TS to the Permanent Representative of the Syrian Arab Republic to the OPCW accepting the Syrian Arab Republic proposal that the MP from the Russian Federation present in Douma provide a security escort to the FFM, from the point of entry to the final point of exit to the sites relevant to the mandate of the FFM. |
| 16 April | Meeting among members of FFM, UNOPS, UNDSS, and representatives of the Syrian Arab Republic and Russian military personnel to discuss security arrangements. First deployment agreed for 18 April. |
| 17 April | A UNDSS team, accompanied by Russian MP, conducts a reconnaissance mission to Locations 1 and 2 to assess security for the proposed deployment on 18 April. |
| 17 April | Security incident during the reconnaissance mission, involving use of light arms and hand-grenade explosion, requiring rapid exit of the reconnaissance team from target site at Location 2. |
| 17 April | Team Leader (TL) redeployed for information gathering activities from all other available sources. Deputy TL takes over leadership in Damascus. |
| 18 April | FFM receives environmental and biomedical samples from witnesses. |
| 18 April | Meeting between representatives of the Syrian Arab Republic, Russian military personnel, the FFM, UNOPS, and UNDSS to discuss security situation in Douma, in particular the security related to the 17 April incident. |
| 18 April | FFM received written reply to the questions and requests submitted to the Syrian Arab Republic on 15 April. |
| 19 April | UNDSS and OMS representatives approach the team with a proposal to conduct reconnaissance at Location 1 (hospital) on 19 April, with the possibility of deploying a reduced team to the same location on 20 April 2018. Due to the priorities set by the FFM, the proposal is not further explored. |


| Date | Activities |
| :---: | :--- |
| 19 April | $\begin{array}{l}\text { FFM requests advice from HQ on legal implications of } \\ \text { collecting privately owned items for evidence purposes. }\end{array}$ |
| 20 April | $\begin{array}{l}\text { Note Verbale (NV/ODG/214771/18) from TS to the Permanent } \\ \text { Representative of the Syrian Arab Republic to the OPCW } \\ \text { regarding the rights of the FFM with regard to collecting items } \\ \text { of personal property as evidence for the investigation. }\end{array}$ |
| 20 April | $\begin{array}{l}\text { Note Verbale from the Syrian Arab Republic to the Director } \\ \text { General of the OPCW requesting him to instruct the FFM to } \\ \text { conduct a visit to a warehouse containing chemicals and } \\ \text { equipment, within the framework of the FFM's mandate, to } \\ \text { collect information surrounding the allegation of use of toxic } \\ \text { chemical substances in the city of Douma in Rif Dimashq on 7 } \\ \text { April 2018. }\end{array}$ |
| 20 April | $\begin{array}{l}\text { Reconnaissance mission to Location 2 by UNDSS escorted by } \\ \text { Russian MP. }\end{array}$ |
| 21 April | $\begin{array}{l}\text { FFM receives environmental and biomedical samples. }\end{array}$ |
| 21 April | $\begin{array}{l}\text { FFM deploys to Location 2. Team collects samples, takes } \\ \text { photos and conducts physical measurements. }\end{array}$ |
| 22 April | $\begin{array}{l}\text { FFM receives environmental samples from a witness. }\end{array}$ |
| 25 April | $\begin{array}{l}\text { FFM deploys to Location 4, collects samples, takes photos, and } \\ \text { conducts physical measurements. }\end{array}$ |
| 22 April | $\begin{array}{l}\text { First FFM progress report submitted to the Director General on } \\ \text { the activities conducted from 14-21 April 2018. }\end{array}$ |
| 23 April | $\begin{array}{l}\text { Team informed of TS approval to deploy to Location 4 as next } \\ \text { priority and instructed to also visit the warehouse referred to in } \\ \text { the NV from the Syrian Arab Republic. }\end{array}$ |
| 23 April | $\begin{array}{l}\text { Receipt of written reply to the request of the FFM for } \\ \text { information on any activities by Russian military personnel at } \\ \text { Location 2 since the alleged incident. }\end{array}$ |
| 23 April | $\begin{array}{l}\text { Photos of seals on samples taken at Location 2 given to the } \\ \text { Syrian Arab Republic. } \\ \text { and Russsian Fith UNDSSS, UNOPS, the Syrian Arab Republico military representatives to agree } \\ \text { security arrangements for deployment to Location 4. }\end{array}$ |
| MP and approval from HQ for the FFM to deploy. |  |$\}$


| Date | Activities |
| :---: | :--- |
| 25 April | Second FFM progress report submitted to the Director General | \left\lvert\, \(\left.\begin{array}{ll}\hline 26 April \& \begin{array}{l}Note Verbale (NV/ODG/214827/18) from the Secretariat to the <br>

Permanent Representative of the Syrian Arab Republic to the <br>
OPCW, requesting information and assistance from the <br>
Government of the SAR in getting the FFM access to the <br>
remains of any interred persons whose death might have been <br>
associated with the alleged incident on 7 April, including the <br>
exhumation of human remains.\end{array} <br>
\hline 26 April \& $$
\begin{array}{l}\text { Note Verbale (NV/ODG/214836/18) from the TS to the } \\
\text { Permanent Representative of the SAR to the OPCW, requesting } \\
\text { that the SAR transport the cylinders observed at Locations 2 } \\
\text { and 4 to a secure location for packing and facilitate the } \\
\text { application of OPCW seals by the FFM for possible future } \\
\text { evaluation by the Secretariat. }\end{array}
$$ <br>
\hline 27 April \& $$
\begin{array}{l}\text { FFM visits the warehouse, collects samples, takes photos and } \\
\text { conducts physical measurements. }\end{array}
$$ <br>
\hline 27 April \& $$
\begin{array}{l}\text { Third FFM progress report submitted to the Director General }\end{array}
$$ <br>
\hline 30 April \& $$
\begin{array}{l}\text { FFM deploys to the facility suspected of producing chemical } \\
\text { weapons, collects samples, takes photos, and conducts physical } \\
\text { measurements. A SAR representative informs the FFM that no } \\
\text { decision has been made regarding the sealing of the cylinders. }\end{array}
$$ <br>
\hline 3 May \& $$
\begin{array}{l}\text { Fourth FFM progress report submitted to the Director General }\end{array}
$$ <br>
\hline 3 Mapril \& $$
\begin{array}{l}\text { For }\end{array}
$$ <br>
\hline replying to the Technical Secretariat's request in Note Verbale <br>
(NV/ODG/214827/18) to exhume bodies for the purpose of <br>
taking bio samples.\end{array}\right.\right\}\)

| Date | Activities |
| :---: | :--- |
| 9-15 May | FFM redeploys to conduct interviews. |
| 24 May | FFM delivers fractions of samples to the SAR. |
| 3 June | FFM tags and seals cylinders from Locations 2 and 4. The <br> procedure is documented. |
| 6 July | Interim Report issued by the Secretariat (S/1645/2018). |
| 7 August | Secretariat receives Note Verbale (No. 60) from the SAR: <br> Remarks of the Syrian Arab Republic on the FFM Interim <br> Report on Douma Alleged Incident. |
| September | Consultations with toxicologists. |
| 14-22 October | FFM redeploys to conduct interviews. |
| October | Consultations with toxicologists and engineering experts. |
| November | Consultations with engineering experts. |
| December | Reception of engineering studies. |
| 8 February 2019 | FFM receives lab results for the second batch of samples. |

## Annex 4

## METHODOLOGY DETAILS

## SAMPLING

## Sample types

1. Sampling was considered a key source of primary evidence in assessing whether toxic chemicals had been used as a weapon on 7 April 2018 in Douma. Given that the FFM team would potentially have direct access to alleged incident sites and would therefore be able to select and collect samples, very careful and meticulous consideration was given to selecting sample types as per OPCW procedures, particularly in relation to samples that would be of the greatest potential probative value. To the greatest extent possible, the selection was founded on scientific rationale, ideally backed by proven scientific experience or peer-reviewed literature.
2. Sampling for chlorine or chlorine derivatives: Chlorine is a volatile gas that is two and a half times heavier than air. It is unstable both in the environment and in vivo, and generates decomposition products which are also very reactive or non-specific. Once released to the environment chlorine rapidly reacts with water or atmospheric moisture, generating hydrochloric acid and hypochlorous acid [14] [15]. Similarly, when chlorine comes in contact with moisture in nasal, trachial, and lung tissue, the chlorine disproportionates to the same acids [16]. Moreover, chlorine gas rapidly degrades with ultraviolet radiation, generating chlorine free radicles in daylight [12]. For that reason, detecting chlorine gas per se in the environment or in body tissue or fluids following exposure is highly unlikely, particularly if there is a significant delay in collecting the samples, as in this particular case.
3. Although chlorine decomposes rapidly in the environment, the gas itself or its decomposition products are known to react with a variety of other chemicals in the environment, including organic materials and metals [15] [17] [18] [19] [20]. Such products can be quite stable and therefore could provide long-lived chemical signatures of chlorine exposure. The possibility of finding such chlorine derivatives guided the FFM team in its selection of sample types as a means of indirectly demonstrating with a high level of confidence that chlorine gas, or at least a substance containing reactive chlorine, had been present in the environment of the alleged incident.
4. Just as chlorine or its decomposition product hypochlorous acid interacts with alkene moieties of inanimate organic matter, similar interactions can take place with biological materials. Although biomarkers that specifically indicate chlorine exposure remain unclear a limited number of biomarker studies for chlorine involving animal and human exposure have been published. They include studies on chlorinated derivatives of surfactant proteins in lung tissue, chlorotyrosines and phosphatidylglycerol chlorohydrins [21] [22] [23] [24] [25] [26]. While all of these chlorinated derivatives provide promising possibilities for detecting human or animal exposure to chlorine gas, reports indicate that, in vivo, they are relative short-lived
biomarkers, with levels returning to baseline within periods ranging from 24 to 72 hours post-exposure.
5. Other studies have been conducted where markers for chlorine exposure have been detected up to periods of $7-10$ days post-exposure [27]. The studies relate to the effects of chlorine on Clara cell secretory proteins in which chlorine exposure results in sloughing of Clara cells from tracheal epithelium.
6. Human hair was considered another relevant sample type as evidence for possible exposure to chlorine [28]. The interaction of chlorine with proteins such as cysteine and keratin in hair has been well studied.
7. Although molecular chlorine is not naturally present in the environment, chloride ions and many chlorinated organic derivatives exist in the natural background. For that reason it was important to gather control samples, wherever feasible, at locations not expected to have been exposed to chlorine gas.

## PHYSICAL DATA COLLECTION

8. As with sampling, pre-deployment plans were developed to identify key measurements and photos to be taken during the visits to the various locations.
Annex 5

## RESULTS OF ANALYSIS

TABLE A5.1: ENVIRONMENTAL SAMPLES RECEIVED OR COLLECTED BY THE FACT-FINDING MISSION

| Samples collected from Location 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { Entry } \\ \# \end{gathered}$ | Sample Code | Description | Evidence Reference Number | $\begin{aligned} & \text { DL02 } \\ & \text { code } \end{aligned}$ | Results DL02 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { DL } 03 \\ & \text { code } \end{aligned}$ | Results DL03 |
| 1. | 10WPS | Swab from inside the cylinder orifice (level 3) | 20180421190910 | D | No chemicals relevant to Convention have been found. | E10 | No CWC-scheduled chemicals detected. |
| 2. | 11WPS | Swab with water from inside the cylinder orifice (level 3) | 20180421190911 | E | Dichloroacetic acid, chloride. | E11 | No CWC-scheduled chemicals detected. |
| 3. | 15WPS | Dry wipe of the cylinder thread (level 3) | 20180421190915 | A | Dichloroacetic acid | WP15 | No CWC-scheduled chemicals detected [1], <br> chloride: 13,000 ppm (IC), <br> iron: 11 ppm (ICP-MS), <br> manganese: 36 ppm (ICP-MS), <br> zinc: $10,000 \mathrm{ppm}$ (ICP-MS) |
| 4. | 19SLS | Concrete debris from the crateredge in front of the cylinder nose (level 3) | 20180421190919 | F | Dichloroacetic acid, trichloroacetic acid, chloral hydrate, trichlorophenol. | C19 | No CWC-scheduled chemicals detected. 2,4,6-trinitrotoluene*. |
| 5. | 21WPS | Wipe with water from the burnt wall in the room located under the cylinder (level 2) | 20180421190921 | B | No chemicals relevant to CWC have been found. | WA21 | No CWC-scheduled chemicals detected [1], CLOC (trace, LC-HRMS) |

Samples collected from Location 2

| Entry <br> $\#$ |  | Sample <br> Code | Description | Evidence <br> Reference <br> Number | DL02 <br> code | Results DL02 | DL 03 <br> code | Results DL03 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
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| Samples collected from Location 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { Entry } \\ \# \end{gathered}$ | Sample Code | Description | Evidence <br> Reference <br> Number | $\begin{gathered} \text { DL02 } \\ \text { code } \end{gathered}$ | Results DL02 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { DL } 03 \\ & \text { code } \end{aligned}$ | Results DL03 |
| 12. | 32SDS | Water tank wood support in basement (level -1) | 20180421190932 | I | Dichloroacetic acid, trichloroacetic acid. | V32 | No CWC-scheduled chemicals detected. <br> alpha-pinene, bornyl chloride ${ }^{\dagger}$, phenol, 2,4,6-trichlorophenol ${ }^{\dagger}$, 2,4,6-trinitrotoluene*. |
| 13. | 30WPS | Dry wipe from bicycle rear cassette in basement (level -1) | 20180421190930 | H | No chemicals relevant to CWC have been found. | S30 | No CWC-scheduled chemicals detected. |
| 14. | 34SDS | Wood from partition frame in basement (level-1) | 20180421190934 | J | Dichloroacetic acid, trichloroacetic acid. | V34 | No CWC-scheduled chemicals detected. phenol, 2,4,6-trichlorophenol $\dagger$, 2,4,6-trinitrotoluene*. |
| 15. | 38WPS | Swab with water from electric socket basement (level -1) | 20180421190938 | F | No chemicals relevant to CWC have been found. | WA38 | No CWC-scheduled chemicals detected [1] |
| 16. | 43WPS | Wipe with water from lavatory extractor pipe in basement (level -1) | 20180421190943 | G | No chemicals relevant to CWC have been found. | WA43 | No CWC-scheduled chemicals detected [1] |


| Samples collected from Location 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Entry \# | Sample Code | Description | Evidence <br> Reference <br> Number | DL02 code | Results DL02 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { DL } 03 \\ & \text { code } \end{aligned}$ | Results DL03 |
| 17. | 11WPS-L4 | Dry wipe from nozzle, front part next to thread | 20180425178811 | H | Trichloroacetic acid, 1-methyl-2,4,6-trinitrobenzene* | WP11 | No CWC-scheduled chemicals detected [1], <br> chloride: $15,000 \mathrm{ppm}$ (IC), iron: 390 ppm (ICP-MS), <br> manganese: 54 ppm (ICP-MS), <br> zinc: $4,700 \mathrm{ppm}$ (ICP-MS) |
| 18. | 17WPS-L4 | Wipe with DCM of cylinder nozzle | 20180425178817 | K | No chemicals relevant to CWC have been found. | WD17 | No CWC-scheduled chemicals detected [1], CLOC (trace, GC), <br> 2,4,6-trinitrotoluene* (ultra-trace, LC-HRMS, GC) |
| 19. | 16WPS-L4 | Wipe with DCM from headbed | 20180425178816 | J | No chemicals relevant to CWC have been found. | WD16 | No CWC-scheduled chemicals detected [1], <br> CLOC (trace, GC), <br> 2,4,6-trinitrotoluene* (trace, LCHRMS, GC) |
| 20. | 04SDS-L4 | Blanket under cylinder | 20180425178804 | L | Dichloroacetic acid, trichloroacetic acid, chloral hydrate, trichlorophenol, trinitrotoluene ${ }^{*}$, chloride. | TL4 | No CWC-scheduled chemicals detected. <br> 2,4,6-trinitrotoluene*. |


| Samples collected from Location 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Entry \# | Sample Code | Description | Evidence Reference Number | $\begin{aligned} & \text { DL02 } \\ & \text { code } \end{aligned}$ | Results DL02 | DL 03 code | Results DL03 |
| 21. | 10SDS-L4 | Pillow cover on the bed , closer to the wall | 20180425178810 | N | Dichloroacetic acid, trichloroacetic acid, trichlorophenol, tetrachlorophenol, chloral hydrate, trinitrotoluene*, chloride. | T10 | No CWC-scheduled chemicals detected. 2,4,6-trinitrotoluene*. |
| 22. | 06SDS-L4 | Wet wood from under the cylinder | 20180425178806 | M | Bornyl chloride ${ }^{\dagger}$, chloride. | V06 | No CWC-scheduled chemicals detected. <br> alpha-pinene, bornyl chloride ${ }^{\dagger}$, phenol, 2,4,6-trichlorophenol ${ }^{\dagger}$, |
| 23. | 13WPS-L4 | Dry wipe from stains on the wall, behind the bed | 20180425178813 | O | No chemicals relevant to CWC have been found. | S13 | No CWC-scheduled chemicals detected. <br> 2,4,6-Trinitrotoluene*. |
| 24. | 14SDS-L4 | Chips of paint from wall behind bed. <br> Reading on LCD 3.3: GB,HD,VXR | 20180425178814 | I | Tetrachlorophenol, 1-methyl-2,4,6-trinitrobenzene*, amino dinitrotoluene ${ }^{\Delta}$, (isomer not specified) | SS14 | No CWC-scheduled chemicals detected [1], CLOC (trace, LC-HRMS), chloride: $2,600 \mathrm{ppm}$ (IC), zinc: 150 ppm (ICP-MS) |
| 25. | 19SDS-L4 | Gloves from stairs | 20180425178819 | L | Dichloroacetic acid, trichloroacetic acid, 1-methyl-2,4,6-trinitrobenzene*, amino dinitrotoluene ${ }^{\Delta}$, Permethrin ${ }^{\infty}$ | SS19 | No CWC-scheduled chemicals detected [1] CLOC (trace, LC-HRMS) chloride: $17,000 \mathrm{ppm}$ (IC) zinc: $1,500 \mathrm{ppm}$ (ICP-MS) |

Samples collected from Hospital

| Samples collected from Hospital |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { Entry } \\ \# \end{gathered}$ | Sample Code | Description | Evidence Reference Number | $\begin{aligned} & \text { DL02 } \\ & \text { code } \end{aligned}$ | Results DL02 | DL 03 code | Results DL03 |
| 26. | S6 | Concrete dust 5-13 on right hand side at wall | 20180501177906 | N | Trichlorophenol (isomer not specified) tetrachlorophenol, Permethrin ${ }^{\infty}$, Malathion ${ }^{\infty}$, Deltamethrin ${ }^{\infty}$, Linuron ${ }^{\infty}$, <br> 1-methyl-2,4,6trinitrobenzene*, amino dinitrotoluene ${ }^{\Delta}$ (isomer not specified) | SS06 | No CWC-scheduled chemicals detected [1] <br> CLOC (trace, LC-HRMS) chloride: 830 ppm (IC) <br> 2,4,6-trinitrotoluene* (ultra-trace, LC-HRMS, GC) |
| 27. | S7 | Grouting from 5-13 c. 1 m out from LHS wall | 20180501177907 | Q | No chemicals relevant to CW have been found. | C07 | No nerve agent related chemicals detected. triethanolamine ${ }^{\ddagger}$ |
| TNT = Explosive, [1] CWC-scheduled chemicals and degradation products (estimated detection limit: <100 ppb). $\ddagger$ Surfacta CLOC $=$ Chlorine containing Organic Chemicals, ${ }^{\infty}$ Pesticide, ${ }^{\Delta}$ Precursor of TNT |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sample collected from Alleged Production Facility |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { Entry } \\ \# \end{gathered}$ | Sample Code | Description | Evidence <br> Reference Number | $\begin{gathered} \text { DL02 } \\ \text { code } \end{gathered}$ | Results DL02 | DL 03 code | Results DL03 |
| 28. | $\begin{gathered} \text { 04WPS } \\ \text {-PF } \end{gathered}$ | Swab sample with water from outlet valve on reactor | 20180430150804 | P | No chemicals relevant to CWC have been found. | E04 | No CWC-scheduled chemicals detected. |


| Sample collected from Warehouse <br> Entry <br> $\#$ |  | Sample <br> Code | Description | Evidence <br> Reference <br> Number | DL02 <br> code | Results DL02 | DL03 <br> code |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 29. | 41BSS- <br> WH | Solid sample from white bag <br> with Cheminol label and labelled <br> as hexamine | 20180427191404 | M | Tetraazatricyclo[3.3.1.1 <br> 3,7 $]$ decane or <br> hexamine | SS41 | Ro CWC-scheduled chemicals <br> detected [1] <br> hexamine (high purity, LC- <br> HRMS, GC, NMR) |


| Samples received from witnesses <br> $\#$ <br> $\#$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Entry | Sample <br> Code | Description | Evidence <br> Reference <br> Number | DL02 <br> code | Results DL02 | DL03 <br> code | Results DL03 |


| Entry <br> $\#$ |
| :--- |
| Sample |
| Code |$\quad$

TABLE A5.2: BIOMEDICAL SAMPLES RECEIVED OR COLLECTED BY THE FACT-FINDING MISSION

[^5]
## Annex 6

## VISIT TO LOCATION 2

## Visit to Location 2 ("Cylinder on the Roof")

1. In light of the security incident that occurred during the reconnaissance visit to Location 2 on 17 April, a tarpaulin was placed during the second reconnaissance visit on 20 April, across the exposed north-facing end of the roof terrace to minimise the exposure of the FFM team to potential sniper fire from adjacent buildings while conducting investigation activities. The team also had to exercise special precautions when working on the terrace given the uncertainty of its structural integrity as a result of the aperture that had been created allegedly by the falling cylinder.
2. Selected photos taken by the FFM of the terrace, crater, cylinder, and room beneath are shown below. ${ }^{20}$

FIGURE A.6.1 PHOTOS OF TERRACE, CRATER, AND ROOM BENEATH


3. The aperture observed was circular in shape with approximately 45 degrees angular edges.
4. The mangled ironwork present on the patio indicated that there would have been a metallic frame and mesh covering it at one stage, though it was not clear whether this would have been present at the time of the alleged incident or had been demolished prior to that. The visual damage on the body of the cylinder indicates that the lateral aspect of the cylinder did not slide on the mesh but it hit perpendicularly.

## FIGURE A.6.2 CYLINDER WITH VISIBLE DAMAGE LIKELY ORIGINATING FROM THE MESH


5. The FFM team noted that a similar crater (see photos below) was present on a nearby building.

FIGURE A.6.3 ADJACENT ROOF SHOWING A CRATER SIMILAR TO THE ONE ON THE ROOF TERRACE AT LOCATION 2

6. The team was not able to climb on to the top of the building due to the security restrictions, but was able to observe damage in the corner of the balcony location above the crater.

FIGURE A.6.4 DAMAGE ABOVE THE CRATER OBSERVED FROM DIFFERENT ANGLES

7. Observing the damage on the roof above the crater, the experts were able to provide an explanation of the cylinder not penetrating completely through the aperture. It can be seen that there was a large impact on the roof and walls above the balcony. The impact would decrease the velocity of the falling cylinder and changed its trajectory while hitting the concrete floor of the balcony causing a hole in it, but without sufficient energy to fall through it.

FIGURE A.6.5 DAMAGE OBSERVED ON THE CYLINDER


FIGURE A.6.6 CYLINDER FRONT END DEFORMATION IF IMPACTED WITH THE CORNER ON THE ROOF ABOVE THE BALCONY ${ }^{21}$


FIGURE A.6.7(a) MODULATION OF CYLINDER IMPACT ON BALCONY
CEILING


FIGURE A.6.7(b) DAMAGE ON THE CEILING IN THE CASE OF LOW SPEED IMPACT


FIGURE A.6.7(c) NUMERICAL MODEL OF THE CRATER


FIGURE A.6.8 CRATER AS SEEN BY FFM INSPECTORS

8. The FFM analysed the damage on the rooftop terrace and below the crater in order to determine if it had been created by an explosive device. However, this hypothesis is unlikely given the absence of primary and secondary fragmentation characteristic of an explosion that may have created the crater and the damage surrounding it.
9. The FFM team noted the blackening of the ceiling and the rim of the aperture from the room immediately below the point of impact (see photo above). It also noted the blackened sooty walls in the corner of the room, as well as what appeared to be the ashen remnants of a small fire. One interviewed witness stated that a fire had been lit in the room after the alleged incident, reportedly to detoxify it of the alleged chemical.

## Observed Changes to the Scene

10. The team observed during the visit that certain items were not present that had been seen in open source videos shortly after the alleged event or that had been seen in the video recording and photos taken during the reconnaissance visit. The following points are noted:

- the cylinder was sampled at least one (1) time prior to the FFM sampling;
- the cylinder was moved a number of times prior to the FFM visit;
- debris was moved in front of the cylinder; and
- the metal frame and fins, visible on the terrace in videos, were missing at the time of the FFM visit.

11. On 26 April the TS requested the SAR to transport the two cylinders that had been observed by the FFM team at Locations 2 and 4 to a safe storage area where the FFM team could apply OPCW tags and seals. SAR representatives informed the team that this would not be possible as the SAR wished to retain the cylinders for criminal investigation purposes. The team leader requested that the SAR inform the TS of this decision through a formal written reply to Note Verbale NV/ODG/214836/18. This was sent to the Technical Secretariat on 4 May. On 4 June, FFM team members tagged and sealed the cylinders from Locations 2 and 4, and documented the procedure.

## Annex 7

## VISIT TO LOCATION 4

Visit to Location 4 ("cylinder in the bedroom")
FIGURE A.7.1 THE AREA IN WHICH THE CYLINDER WAS OBSERVED IN A BEDROOM IN A TOP FLOOR APARTMENT


FIGURE A.7.2 LOCATION IN WHICH THE CYLINDER WAS OBSERVED IN A BEDROOM IN A TOP FLOOR APARTMENT


Area shaded in red marks the roof of Location 4

FIGURE A.7.3 ROOF OF LOCATION 4


The aperture was located close to a surrounding wall and next to the water tank with approximate dimensions of $166 \times 105 \mathrm{~cm}$. The distance from the adjacent building varies between 230 cm and 250 cm .

FIGURE A.7.4 CRATER ON THE ROOF OF LOCATION 4


FIGURE A.7.5 STRUCTURE OF THE CYLINDER WITH HARNESS AND STABILISING FINS


FIGURE A.7.6 SCALE REPRESENTATION OF LAYOUT OF LOCATION 4 ("CYLINDER IN A BEDROOM")


FIGURE A.7.6 SNAPSHOT OF SIMULATION OF THE POSSIBLE ROOF CRATER FORMATION


Considering the proximity of the water tank, the neighbouring buildings, and the surrounding wall adjacent to the hole in the roof, it was concluded that the cylinder impacted the roof as shown in Figure A.7.6. From the shape of the crater and damage on the cylinder, it is likely that the cylinder landed parallel to the ground creating a crater with dimensions of approximately $166 \times 105 \mathrm{~cm}$, which is in keeping with the dimensions of cylinder of $140 \times 35 \mathrm{~cm}$. It should be noted that the cylinder had an additional structure attached to the body, which is still in line with the dimensions of the crater. The damage observed on site by the FFM team and the possible trajectory of the cylinder based on observed damage and numerical calculations are represented in Figure A.7.7.

FIGURE A.7.7: POSSIBLE TRAJECTORY OF THE CYLINDER INSIDE THE ROOM


## Observed changes to the scene

The team observed some differences in the state and content, as well as location of certain items in the room, when referenced to open source videos released shortly after the alleged event. The observed changes are listed below:

- The cylinder appears to have been cleaned. The layer of a white powder seen in the videos was not present when the FFM team visited the location.

FIGURE A.7.8 CHANGES IN THE SCENE


- The bedside lamp on the right side (towards the window) had been moved and was also missing in some photos.
- The FFM team observed a viscous liquid throughout the room, which was not apparent in videos. The same liquid was observed also before the entrance to the apartment and on disposable gloves present at the location (Annex 5).
- The round object similar to the funnel cap found at Location 2 was seen on the open source video.

FIGURE A.7.9 FUNNEL CAP


- Another discrepancy observed while comparing open source videos issued before the FFM visit is related to the cup on the shower cabin. In the initial videos, the cup was not present but on the photos and videos taken by the FFM, the cup is visible.

FIGURE A.7.10 OTHER DISCREPANCIES


## Annex 8

## VISIT TO THE WAREHOUSE AND FACILITY SUSPECTED OF PRODUCING CHEMICAL WEAPONS

## Introduction

1. In a note verbale to the Secretariat on 20 April 2018, a request was made by the SAR for the FFM team, which was currently deployed in Damascus to investigate the alleged use of chemical weapons in Douma on 7 April 2018, to visit, as part of a broader investigation into the above incident, a warehouse where numerous chemical substances were found. After SAR forces commandeered the area, a specialised team was tasked by the Syrian authorities to visit the warehouse on 19 April 2018. The team reported that the warehouse was a six room basement containing a large number of various chemical substances that were relevant both to the production of chemical weapons and explosives. Posterior to receiving the Note Verbale, a public source video-recording of the warehouse was provided by HQ to the FFM team along with a request for the team to conduct a technical evaluation and provide a recommendation on the relevance of the request to the FFM mission.

## Visit to Warehouse

2. The FFM team deployed to the warehouse on 27 April 2018 to collect samples and take photos and physical measurements. The coordinates for the warehouse were measured as $\mathrm{N} 33^{\circ} 34^{\prime} 24^{\prime \prime}$, E $36^{\circ} 23^{\prime} 41.1^{\prime \prime}$. There were difficulties initially for the FFM team in gaining safe access to the basement where the warehouse was located. The team's monitoring equipment showed low oxygen levels in the basement as well as high levels of nitrous oxides. Both readings precluded a safe entry of the team and corrective measures had to be instigated. With the assistance of the representatives of the SAR it was possible to ventilate the basement sufficiently to bring oxygen and nitrous oxide levels to within acceptable levels to allow the team to safely work.
3. The warehouse was located in the basement and ground floor of a structurally damaged apartment block. The storage area comprised multiple rooms segregated by concrete walls where chemicals of various types and quantities were stored. Numerous anti-tank mines and mortars were scattered on the floor throughout the basement. On the floor directly above the storage area there was an item of equipment which appeared to be an oxygen generator along with bags of "Dr Oxygen", a substance used to produce oxygen. All the chemicals present, many of which had labels or markings written in Arabic, were photographed, translated where necessary, and subsequently classified.

FIGURE A.8.1 LAYOUT OF THE WAREHOUSE IS GIVEN BELOW (NOT TO SCALE)

A. 1 Ground Floor


Entrance $\uparrow$

## Legend of A. 1

A 1.1 Entrance
A 1.2 Stairs
A 1.3 Stairs to basement
A 1.3 Stairs to
$\begin{array}{ll}\text { A } 1.4 & \text { Hallway } \\ \text { A } 1.5 \\ \text { Room / Storage }\end{array}$
A 1.5 Room / Storage A 1.6 Room / Storage
A 1.7 Room / Storage $\begin{array}{ll}\text { A } 1.7 & \text { Room } \\ \text { A } 1.8 & \text { Hallway } \\ \text { A } 1.9 & \text { Storage }\end{array}$ A 1.10 Storage

TABLE A.8.1 LIST OF SUBSTANCES OBSERVED ON LABELS IN THE WAREHOUSE.

| Labelling | Labelling |
| :--- | :--- |
| Cobalt octoate | Packing substances |
| Dr. Oxygen (for oxygen generation) | Stearic acid |
| Methyl ethyl ketone (MEK) | Enamel paint |
| Butyl acetate | Nickel sulfate |
| Butyl glycolether | Sodium carbonate |
| Dibutyl phthalate (DBP) | Sulfur |
| Toluene | Agricultural sulfur |
| Desmophen A 760 BA/X (hydroxyl bearing <br> polyacrylate) | Oil 2.5 |
| Carboxyl methyl cellulose (CMC) | Resin |
| TAJ Brilliant Freshness (Detergent) | Sulfuric acid |
| Engineering Plastics | Sodium nitrate |
| Aqua 95 | Potassium nitrate |
| MHM | Ammonium perchlorate |
| Uplex | Polyamide granules |
| Methyl acetate | Wax |
| Desmodur NS (Resin solution) | Iron oxide |
| Lead octoate | Sodium hydroxide |
| Acetone | Butoxyethanol |
| Desmodur L 75 (Aromatic polyisocyanate <br> based on toluene diisocyanate) | Burnt oil |
| EcoC (wetted with) | Hexanoic acid |
| Lama (Waterproofing polymer) | Anti-freeze |
| Calcium carbonate | Chlorinated paraffin |
| ROSK K 26 FASS 226 (contains styrene) | Propyl acetate |
| Diethanolamine | Sodium bicarbonate |
| LG - PP Seetec (polypropylene) | Potassium carbonate |
| Plastichem (plastics from Sprea Group) | Diesel |
| Hexamine | Polyethylene |
| Hydrochloric acid | Glycol |
| Propylene glycol | Vaseline |
| Diethylene glycol | Cytidine |
| Acrylic resin | Aluminium sulfate |
| Xanthan |  |
| FLASH (Detergent for bathrooms) |  |
|  |  |

4. The chemicals identified and which were present in bulk quantities are precursors that are consistent with the production of explosives and propellants. Chemicals such as hexamine, diethylene glycol, carboxymethyl cellulose, toluene, acetone, sulphur, potassium nitrate, dibutyl phthalate, and diethanolamine are all key precursors for the production of explosives and propellants such as RDX, trinitrotoluene (TNT), nitrocellulose, nitrodiethanolamine dinitrate, ethylene glycol dinitrate and gun powder. Although nitric acid, the key nitrating agent for explosives production, was not observed by the FFM team, several litre quantities were seen in the open source
video of the same warehouse. Large quantities of sulphuric acid, an important chemical in nitration processes, were also present.
5. The FFM team did not observe any major key precursors for the synthesis of chemical weapons agents, particularly for nerve agents such as sarin, or vesicants such as sulphur or nitrogen mustard. Although large quantities of hexamine, which can be used as an acid scavenger in binary-type sarin systems and not as a reactive ingredient, were present, no other sarin precursors were observed. In this context, the presence of hexamine, appeared consistent with the production of explosives such as RDX, for which it is the key ingredient.
6. Sulphur powder that serves as one component of binary VX was also observed. None of the precursors for the other component of the binary system, namely QL, were noted. In this context, the storage of sulphur at the site appeared consistent with the manufacture of gun powder, particularly since potassium nitrate was also present.
7. Although the team confirmed the presence of a yellow cylinder in the warehouse, reported in Note Verbale of the Syrian Arab Republic (Annex 10, point 2) as a chlorine cylinder, due to safety reasons (risk involved in manipulating the valve of the cylinder, see Figure A.8.2) it was not feasible to verify or sample the contents. There were differences in this cylinder compared to those witnessed at Locations 2 and 4. It should be noted that the cylinder was present in its original state and had not been altered. Chlorine gas is generally not a common chlorinating agent in the production of chemical weapons agents, except when used in conjunction with phosphorous trichloride, which was not present. Subsequently, the presence of a cylinder reported as containing chlorine gas is not indicative of the production of explosives.

## FIGURE A.8.2 CYLINDER OBSERVED BY THE FFM TEAM AT THE WAREHOUSE



## Visit to the facility suspected of producing chemical weapons

8. The facility was visited by the FFM team on 30 April. A description of the building and the main features as observed by the FFM team are provided below.
9. The facility is located in the basement of a multi-storey building located at GPS coordinates N $33^{\circ} 34^{\prime} 44.7^{\prime \prime}$, E $36^{\circ} 24^{\prime} 2.9^{\prime \prime}$. There are two main sections to the facility, one apparently for storage of materials and the other a larger open production area. The storage area in the basement which is demarcated by concrete walls into partly separated bays is accessed directly from road level and has dimensions of approximately $15 \times 8$ metres.
10. Adjacent to the storage area, is a larger open area of approximately $30 \times 15$ metres where a small amount of chemical production equipment is housed.

FIGURE A.8.3 LAYOUT PRODUCTION AREA AND STORAGE AREA ${ }^{22}$


The following was observed in the storage area:

- semi-open bays with concrete-partitioning walls between storage areas;
- bags of powder, mostly unlabelled and some carrying commercial brands such as "Lama" and "Bela", in addition to wheat flour;
- unmarked metallic and plastic drums. An oily leakage on top of one unmarked plastic drum indicated the presence of nitrogen containing compounds on the team's detection equipment;
- components relevant to explosive devices, such as hand-manufactured detonation cord and a bag labelled "RDX";
- two cardboard boxes containing laboratory glassware, mostly Erlenmeyer flasks and another containing what appeared to be white ceramic balls;
- a number of 20 -litre metallic drums, some fitted with crude cord-type fuses, which appeared to have been filled with plastic explosives to serve as improvised explosive devices; and
- a number of glass jars containing a light-brown waxy solid substance.

It is to be noted that the storage area was not equipped with any mechanical ventilation system.
The following was observed in the production area:

- an open area of approximately $30 \times 15$ metres;
- a tiled area that appear to be part of a bathroom and toilet;
- an improvised extraction hood connected to a vent that was routed through the ceiling. Below were indications of a small open fireplace as well as a cooking pot filled with solid dark flaky substance;
- an electrical junction box; and
- chemical production equipment. Details of the production equipment are given below.

11. There were no indications that chemical warfare agents or highly toxic chemicals were being manufactured at this facility. As supporting evidence, the team took two wipe samples from the outlet of the vessel. No chemicals related to the production of chemical weapons were detected.
12. The mixing vessel was of a specific design, and the team considered that these design features did not make the unit particularly suited for chemical synthesis of toxic or any other chemicals. The installation appeared to be a heating and kneading unit that could be used for filling ammunition with liquid explosives or for mixing explosives with additives. Examples would include mixing of TNT with aluminium to produce tritonal, and mixing of RDX with liquid rubber for the production of plastic explosives.

## FIGURE A.8.5 SCHEME OF MIXING VESSEL


13. Based on the gathered information, the FFM team was not able to establish the link between the warehouse visited on 27 April and the facility suspected of producing chemical weapons.

Description of the production equipment present in the Facility suspected of producing chemical weapons:

- The production equipment appeared to be a purpose-designed stainless steel unit mounted on a sturdy stainless steel frame.
- The main item of equipment included a jacketed stainless steel vessel of roughly 0.75 meters in diameter and 1.2 meters in height, with a volume of 500 litres.
- The vessel was fitted with three motors connected to multiple mixing paddles and a removable lid with a sight glass that could be raised by a hydraulic piston.
- Through the sight glass, residues of a brown paste on the mixing paddles and the walls of the vessel were visible.
- The vessel was fitted with a pressure gauge calibrated to 15 bar.
- There was a service line connected to the top of the jacket, passing through the ceiling from the ground floor above. However, the other end of the service line was not connected to anything at that location. There was another line of similar size exiting the bottom of the vessel jacket, which included a simple pressure relief valve. This appeared to be consistent with a steam jacket serving the vessel for heating, with condensate removal at the bottom.
- There was a line going into the top of the reactor, presumably for addition of water given that the supply line was also connected to washbasins in the room.
- The vessel was served by a control unit in the same support frame. This unit showed a control panel, a hydraulic motor and pump, and electrical connectors.

There were controls for lifting the lid ("up" and "down"), temperature and vacuum.

- There was an outlet valve at the bottom of the vessel.
- The entire assembly was installed within a tiled basin. At one corner of the basin was a loose plastic hose of about 20 cm diameter, apparently used for extraction of vapours or fumes. This was manifolded into plastic piping that was routed up through the ceiling to the next floor (the ground floor), to an induced draught extractor fan. This in turn was connected to plastic piping that went further up the building.
- Next to the production unit was an assembly that appeared to be an improvised cooling water circuit. This included an air conditioning unit manifolded to a heat exchanger with interconnected circulating lines. It was not connected to the main production unit.
- Other items seen in the area included gloves, dust masks and a bag of zinc oxide powder.

14. Based on the chemicals and the equipment present, as well as the lack of protective mechanisms against toxic chemicals, it is highly unlikely that chemical weapons agents were being manufactured in the location described. With the chemical ingredients present, or suggested to be present, it is not possible to manufacture either nerve agents or vesicants. Some of the chemicals observed could be used to manufacture at least two of the Schedule 3A chemicals, hydrogen cyanide and cyanogen chloride, both highly toxic blood agents (not found on the location). As these are either low boiling liquids (hydrogen cyanide boils at $26^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) or gases (cyanogen chloride boils at $13^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ), it would make it very difficult to handle these chemicals, particularly in the absence of any personal protective equipment, abatement systems or appropriate storage equipment.
15. On the other hand, there is high consistency between the equipment and chemicals present in terms of production of explosives. All of the chemicals observed are common in the production of explosives and propellants.
Tables A9.1, A9.2, and A9.3 below summarise the list of physical data collected from various sources by the FFM. It is split into electronic evidence stored in electronic media storage devices such as USB sticks and micro SD cards, hard copy evidence, and samples. Electronic files include audio-visual captions, still images, and documents. Hard copy files consist of various documents, including drawings made by witnesses. The tables also show the list of samples collected from various sources which include environmental and biomedical samples.

## Table A9.1 ELECTRONIC DATA COLLECTED BY THE FACT-FINDING MISSION

| Electronic data collected by the FFM |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Entry number | Assigned Package Code |  |  | Folder location |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1. | 1508 |  |  | D:\1508\Camera 1-1508\removable disk\dcim\104_fuji\ |  |  |  |  |  |
| File names |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| dscf4405.jpg | dscf4424.jpg | dscf4443.jpg | dscf4462.jpg | dscf4481.jpg | dscf4500.jpg | dscf4519.jpg | dscf4538.jpg | dscf4557.jpg | dscf4576.jpg |
| dscf4406.jpg | dscf4425.jpg | dscf4444.jpg | dscf4463.jpg | dscf4482.jpg | dscf4501.jpg | dscf4520.jpg | dscf4539.jpg | dscf4558.jpg | dscf4577.jpg |
| dscf4407.jpg | dscf4426.jpg | dscf4445.jpg | dscf4464.jpg | dscf4483.jpg | dscf4502.jpg | dscf4521.jpg | dscf4540.jpg | dscf4559.jpg | dscf4578.jpg |
| dscf4408.jpg | dscf4427.jpg | dscf4446.jpg | dscf4465.jpg | dscf4484.jpg | dscf4503.jpg | dscf4522.jpg | dscf4541.jpg | dscf4560.jpg | dscf4579.jpg |
| dscf4409.jpg | dscf4428.jpg | dscf4447.jpg | dscf4466.jpg | dscf4485.jpg | dscf4504.jpg | dscf4523.jpg | dscf4542.jpg | dscf4561.jpg | dscf4580.jpg |
| dscf4410.jpg | dscf4429.jpg | dscf4448.jpg | dscf4467.jpg | dscf4486.jpg | dscf4505.jpg | dscf4524.jpg | dscf4543.jpg | dscf4562.jpg | dscf4581.jpg |
| dscf4411.jpg | dscf4430.jpg | dscf4449.jpg | dscf4468.jpg | dscf4487.jpg | dscf4506.jpg | dscf4525.jpg | dscf4544.jpg | dscf4563.jpg | dscf4582.jpg |
| dscf4412.jpg | dscf4431.jpg | dscf4450.jpg | dscf4469.jpg | dscf4488.jpg | dscf4507.jpg | dscf4526.jpg | dscf4545.jpg | dscf4564.jpg | dscf4583.jpg |
| dscf4413.jpg | dscf4432.jpg | dscf4451.jpg | dscf4470.jpg | dscf4489.jpg | dscf4508.jpg | dscf4527.jpg | dscf4546.jpg | dscf4565.jpg | dscf4584.jpg |
| dscf4414.jpg | dscf4433.jpg | dscf4452.jpg | dscf4471.jpg | dscf4490.jpg | dscf4509.jpg | dscf4528.jpg | dscf4547.jpg | dscf4566.jpg | dscf4585.jpg |
| dscf4415.jpg | dscf4434.jpg | dscf4453.jpg | dscf4472.jpg | dscf4491.jpg | dscf4510.jpg | dscf4529.jpg | dscf4548.jpg | dscf4567.jpg | dscf4586.jpg |
| dscf4416.jpg | dscf4435.jpg | dscf4454.jpg | dscf4473.jpg | dscf4492.jpg | dscf4511.jpg | dscf4530.jpg | dscf4549.jpg | dscf4568.jpg | dscf4587.jpg |
| dscf4417.jpg | dscf4436.jpg | dscf4455.jpg | dscf4474.jpg | dscf4493.jpg | dscf4512.jpg | dscf4531.jpg | dscf4550.jpg | dscf4569.jpg | dscf4588.jpg |
| dscf4418.jpg | dscf4437.jpg | dscf4456.jpg | dscf4475.jpg | dscf4494.jpg | dscf4513.jpg | dscf4532.jpg | dscf4551.jpg | dscf4570.jpg | dscf4589.jpg |
| dscf4419.jpg | dscf4438.jpg | dscf4457.jpg | dscf4476.jpg | dscf4495.jpg | dscf4514.jpg | dscf4533.jpg | dscf4552.jpg | dscf4571.jpg | dscf4590.jpg |

## Electronic data collected by the FFM
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| Electronic data collected by the FFM |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 050a478 | 83．jpg | 050a4792．jpg |  |  |  | Y．1人．E．V＿17．9Y7．jpg |  |  |  |  |  |  | r．1＾．\＆．＾＿．r．r19．mp4 |  |
| r．1入． | r．rra．mp4 | r．1＾．£．＾＿．r．£．9．jpg |  |  |  | Y．1＾．£．入＿．r．ETV．mp4 |  |  |  | r．1入．દ．入＿．r．0Y0．jpg |  |  |  |  |
| r．1＾．El）＿ | ．roro．mp4 | r．1＾．乞\1＿．．rorr．jpg |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ケ．1入．ミ11＿．．「7E๕．jpg |  |  |  |  |
| Entry number | Assigned Package Code |  |  |  | Folder location |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4. | 1748 |  |  |  | D：\1748levidence\} |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| fb＿img＿1439762277929．jpg |  |  | vid－20180416－wa0057．mp4 |  |  |  |  | 010 صوت＿sd．m |  |  |  |  | ケ．1＾．£）．＿11ヶ．19．jpg |  |
| Entry number | Assigned Package Code |  |  |  | Folder location |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | File Name |  |
| 5. | 1757 |  |  |  | D：\1757\evidence\} |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 00010．mts |  |
| Entry numbe | Assigned Package Code |  |  |  | Folder location |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5. | 1757 |  |  |  | D：\1757\evidence\} |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| imag0090．jpg | video0005．mp4 |  | video0006．mp4 |  |  | video0007．mp4 |  | video0008．mp4 vid |  |  | 000 | ．mp4 ${ }^{\text {vide }}$ | deo0010．mp4 | video0016．mp4 |
| video0017．mp | 4 video0018．mp4 |  | video0019．mp4 |  |  | video0028．mp4 |  | video0029．mp4 vic |  |  | 003 | ．mp4 vide | ideo0053．mp4 | video0054．mp4 |
| Entry numbe | Assigned Package Code |  |  |  | Folder location |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6. | 1779 |  |  |  | D：\1779\Camera 1－1779\removable disk\dcim\103＿fuji\ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| dscf3538．jpg | dscf3547．jpg | dscf3556．jpg |  |  | dscf3565．jpg |  | dscf3574．jpg |  | dscf3583．jpg |  | dscf3592．jpg |  | dscf3601．jpg | dscf3610．jpg |
| dscf3539．jpg | dscf3548．jpg | dscf3557．jpg |  |  | dscf3566．jpg |  | dscf3575．jpg |  | dscf3584．jpg |  | dscf3593．jpg |  | dscf3602．jpg | dscf3611．jpg |
| dscf3540．jpg | dscf3549．jpg | dscf3558．jpg |  |  | dscf3567．jpg |  | dscf3576．jpg |  | dscf3585．jpg |  | dscf3594．jpg |  | dscf3603．jpg | dscf3612．jpg |
| dscf3541．jpg | dscf3550．jpg | dscf3559．jpg |  |  | dscf3568．jpg |  | dscf3577．jpg |  | dscf3586．jpg |  | dscf3595．jpg |  | dscf3604．jpg | dscf3613．jpg |
| dscf3542．jpg | dscf3551．jpg | dscf3560．jpg |  |  | dscf3569．jpg |  | dscf3578．jpg |  | dscf3587．jpg |  | dscf3596．jpg |  | dscf3605．jpg | dscf3614．jpg |
| dscf3543．jpg | dscf3552．jpg | dscf3561．jpg |  |  | dscf3570．jpg |  | dscf3579．jpg |  | dscf3588．jpg |  | dscf3597．jpg |  | dscf3606．jpg | dscf3615．jpg |
| dscf3544．jpg | dscf3553．jpg | dscf3562．jpg |  |  | dscf3571．jpg |  | dscf3580．jpg |  | dscf3589．jpg |  | dscf3598．jpg |  | dscf3607．jpg | dscf3616．jpg |
| dscf3545．jpg | dscf3554．jpg dscf3563．jpg |  |  |  | dscf3572．jpg |  | dscf3581．jpg |  | dscf3590．jpg |  | dscf3599．jpg |  | dscf3608．jpg | dscf3617．jpg |
| Entry numbe | Assigned Package Code |  |  |  | Folder location |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6. | 1779 |  |  |  | D：\1779\Camera 2－1779\removable disk\dcim\104＿fuji\} |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| dscf4595．jpg | dscf4600．jpg | dscf4 | 05．jpg | dscf4610．jpg |  | dscf4615．jpg |  | dscf4620．jpg |  | dscf4625．jpg |  | dscf4630．jpg | 年g ${ }^{\text {dscf4635．jpg }}$ | dscf4640．jpg |
| dscf4596．jpg | dscf4601．jpg | dscf4 | 606．jpg | dscf4611．jpg |  | dscf4616．jpg |  | dscf4621．jpg |  | dscf4626．jpg |  | dscf4631．jpg | dscf4636．jpg | dscf4641．jpg |
| dscf4597．jpg | dscf4602．jpg | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { dscf4607.jpg } \\ & \hline \text { dscf4608.jpg } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  | dscf4612．jpg |  | dscf4617．jpg |  | dscf4622．jpg |  | dscf4627．jpg |  | dscf4632．jpg | dscf4637．jpg | dscf4642．jpg |
| dscf4598．jpg | dscf4603．jpg |  |  | dscf4613．jpg |  | dscf4618．jpg |  | dscf4623．jpg |  | dscf4628．jpg |  | dscf4633．jpg | dscf4638．jpg | dscf4643．jpg |



| Electronic data collected by the FFM |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 7. | 1782 |  |  | D:\1782\1782\sd\dcim\105_fuji\ |  |  |  |  |  |
| dscf5499.jpg | dscf5515.jpg | dscf5531.jpg | dscf5547.jpg | dscf5563.jpg | dscf5579.jpg | dscf5595.jpg | dscf5611.jpg | dscf5627.jpg | dscf5643.jpg |
| dscf5500.jpg | dscf5516.jpg | dscf5532.jpg | dscf5548.jpg | dscf5564.jpg | dscf5580.jpg | dscf5596.jpg | dscf5612.jpg | dscf5628.jpg | dscf5644.jpg |
| dscf5501.jpg | dscf5517.jpg | dscf5533.jpg | dscf5549.jpg | dscf5565.jpg | dscf5581.jpg | dscf5597.jpg | dscf5613.jpg | dscf5629.jpg | dscf5645.jpg |
| dscf5502.jpg | dscf5518.jpg | dscf5534.jpg | dscf5550.jpg | dscf5566.jpg | dscf5582.jpg | dscf5598.jpg | dscf5614.jpg | dscf5630.jpg | dscf5646.jpg |
| dscf5503.jpg | dscf5519.jpg | dscf5535.jpg | dscf5551.jpg | dscf5567.jpg | dscf5583.jpg | dscf5599.jpg | dscf5615.jpg | dscf5631.jpg | dscf5647.jpg |
| dscf5504.jpg | dscf5520.jpg | dscf5536.jpg | dscf5552.jpg | dscf5568.jpg | dscf5584.jpg | dscf5600.jpg | dscf5616.jpg | dscf5632.jpg | dscf5648.jpg |
| dscf5505.jpg | dscf5521.jpg | dscf5537.jpg | dscf5553.jpg | dscf5569.jpg | dscf5585.jpg | dscf5601.jpg | dscf5617.jpg | dscf5633.jpg | dscf5649.jpg |
| dscf5506.jpg | dscf5522.jpg | dscf5538.jpg | dscf5554.jpg | dscf5570.jpg | dscf5586.jpg | dscf5602.jpg | dscf5618.jpg | dscf5634.jpg | dscf5650.jpg |
| dscf5507.jpg | dscf5523.jpg | dscf5539.jpg | dscf5555.jpg | dscf5571.jpg | dscf5587.jpg | dscf5603.jpg | dscf5619.jpg | dscf5635.jpg | dscf5651.jpg |
| dscf5508.jpg | dscf5524.jpg | dscf5540.jpg | dscf5556.jpg | dscf5572.jpg | dscf5588.jpg | dscf5604.jpg | dscf5620.jpg | dscf5636.jpg | dscf5652.jpg |
| dscf5509.jpg | dscf5525.jpg | dscf5541.jpg | dscf5557.jpg | dscf5573.jpg | dscf5589.jpg | dscf5605.jpg | dscf5621.jpg | dscf5637.jpg | dscf5653.jpg |
| dscf5510.jpg | dscf5526.jpg | dscf5542.jpg | dscf5558.jpg | dscf5574.jpg | dscf5590.jpg | dscf5606.jpg | dscf5622.jpg | dscf5638.jpg | dscf5654.jpg |
| dscf5511.jpg | dscf5527.jpg | dscf5543.jpg | dscf5559.jpg | dscf5575.jpg | dscf5591.jpg | dscf5607.jpg | dscf5623.jpg | dscf5639.jpg | dscf5655.jpg |
| dscf5512.jpg | dscf5528.jpg | dscf5544.jpg | dscf5560.jpg | dscf5576.jpg | dscf5592.jpg | dscf5608.jpg | dscf5624.jpg | dscf5640.jpg | dscf5656.jpg |
| dscf5513.jpg | dscf5529.jpg | dscf5545.jpg | dscf5561.jpg | dscf5577.jpg | dscf5593.jpg | dscf5609.jpg | dscf5625.jpg | dscf5641.jpg | dscf5657.jpg |
| dscf5514.jpg | dscf5530.jpg | dscf5546.jpg | dscf5562.jpg | dscf5578.jpg | dscf5594.jpg | dscf5610.jpg | dscf5626.jpg | dscf5642.jpg | dscf5658.jpg | dscf5659.jpg


Electronic data collected by the FFM

$$
\begin{array}{l|l}
\hline \text { dscn2132.jpg } & \text { dsen2139.jpg } \\
\hline \text { dscn2133.jpg } & \text { dscn2140.jpg }
\end{array}
$$

$$
\begin{array}{c|c}
\text { dscn2135.jpg } & \text { dscn2140.jpg } \\
\hline \text { dscn2134.jpg } & \text { dscn2141.jpg } \\
\hline
\end{array}
$$

$$
\frac{\text { dscf3490.jpg }}{\text { dscf3491.jpg }}
$$

| LL |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: |
| 1.lrv | gopr0001.lrv |  |
| gopr0001.lrv |  |  |
| gopro m.I\ |  |  |
| $0002 . \mathrm{mp} 4$ | gopr0002.thm |  |


| dscn2152.jpg |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| dscn2153.jpg |  |
| dscn2154.jpg |  |
|  | dscn2155.jpg |
| dscn2156.jpg |  |
| dscn2157.jpg |  |

$\square$

 dscn2158.jpg \begin{tabular}{|l|}
\hline dscf3516.jpg <br>
\hline dscf3517.jpg <br>
\hline dscf3518.jpg <br>
\hline dscf3519.jpg <br>
\hline dscf3520.jpg <br>
\hline

 

\hline dscf3520.jpg <br>
\hline dscf3521.jpg <br>
\hline
\end{tabular}

 dscf3523.jpg dscf3529.jpg dscn2161.jpg

| dscn2145.jpg |
| :--- |
| dscn2146.jpg |
| dscn2147.jpg |
| dscn2148.jpg |
| dscn2149.jpg |
| dscn2150.jpg |
| dscn2151.jpg |

Folder location

 $\stackrel{\leftrightarrow}{2}$


 . \begin{tabular}{|l|}
\hline dscn2131.jpg <br>
\hline dscn2132.jpg <br>
\hline dscn2133.jpg <br>
\hline dscn2134.jpg <br>
\hline dscn2135.jpg <br>
\hline dscn2136.jpg <br>
\hline dscn2137.jpg <br>
\hline

 

\hline dscn2133.jpg \& dscn2140.jpg <br>
\hline dscn2134.jpg \& dscn2141.jpg <br>
\hline dscn2135.jpg \& dscn2142.jpg <br>
\hline dscn2136.jpg \& dscn2143.jpg <br>
\hline
\end{tabular}



$$
\begin{array}{|l|}
\hline \text { dscf3476.jpg } \\
\hline \text { dscf3477.jpg } \\
\hline \text { dscf3478.jpg } \\
\hline \text { dscf3479.jpg } \\
\hline \text { dscf3480.jpg } \\
\hline \text { dscf3481.jpg } \\
\hline \text { dscf3482.jpg } \\
\hline
\end{array}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
0 \\
\hline
\end{aligned}
$$

gopr0001.thm

\[

\]

$$
\begin{array}{|l|}
\hline \text { dscf3484.jpg } \\
\hline \text { dscf3485.jpg } \\
\hline
\end{array}
$$

dscf3486.jpg

$$
\begin{array}{l|l}
\hline \text { dscn2131.jpg } & \text { dscn2138.jpg } \\
\hline
\end{array}
$$

D:1788\103_FUJI A.G $\backslash$

## dscf3527.jpg

## 1788

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { gopr0001.lrv } \\
& \hline 1 \text { Package Code } \\
& \hline 1788 \\
& \hline
\end{aligned}
$$

gopr0001.mp4
Entry number $\quad$ Assigned Package Code

| dscn2103.jpg | dscn2110.jpg |
| :---: | :---: |
| dscn2104.jpg | dscn2111.jpg |
| dscn2105.jpg | dscn2112.jpg |
| dscn2106.jpg | dscn2113.jpg |
| dscn2107.jpg | dscn2114.jpg |
| dscn2108.jpg | dscn2115.jpg |
| dscn2109.jpg | dscn2116.jpg | dscn2159.jpg

Entry number Assigned Package Code
dscn2096.jpg
dscn2097.jpg
dscn2098.jpg
dscn2099.jpg
dscn2100.jpg
dscn2101.jpg
dscn2102.jpg

$$
\begin{array}{|l|}
\hline \text { dscf3487.jpg } \\
\hline
\end{array}
$$

dscf3488.jpg
dscf3489.jpg
dscf3496.jpg


Annex 9



Annex 9


| Electronic data collected by the FFM |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| dscf3976.jpg | dscf3977.jpg | dscf3978.jpg | dscf3979.jpg | dscf3980.jpg | dscf3981.jpg | dscf3982.jpg | dscf3983.jpg | dscf3984.jpg | dscf3985.jpg |
| dscf3986.jpg | dscf3987.jpg | dscf3988.jpg | dscf3989.jpg | dscf3990.jpg | dscf3991.jpg | dscf3992.jpg | dscf3993.jpg | dscf3994.jpg | dscf3995.jpg |
| dscf3996.jpg |  | \||cher |  |  | dscf3998.jpg |  | dscf3999.jpg |  |  |
| Entry numb | Assigned Package Code |  |  | Folder location |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12. | 1914 |  |  | D:\1914\Camera 1-1914\removable disk\dcim\104_fuji\} |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4001.jpg | dscf4041.jpg | f4081.jpg | dscf4121.jpg | dscf4161.jpg | , | cf4241.jpg | scf4281.jpg | 4321.jpg | scf4361.jpg |
| dscf4002.jpg | dscf4042.jpg | 82.jpg | dscf4122.jpg | dscf4162.jpg | dscf4202.jpg | 42 | 282.j | 4322.jpg | scf4362.jpg |
| dscf4003.jpg | dscf4043.jpg | dscf4083.jpg | dscf4123.jpg | dscf4163.jp | dscf4203.jp | dscf4243.jpg | dscf4283.jpg | dscf4323.jpg | dscf4363.jpg |
| dscf4004.jpg | dscf4044.jpg | dscf4084.jpg | dscf4124.jpg | dscf4164.jp | dscf4204 | dscf4244.jp | dscf4284.jpg | scf4324.jpg | dscf4364.jpg |
| dscf4005.jpg | dscf4045.jpg | dscf4085.jpg | dscf4125.jpg | dscf4165.jpg | dscf4205.jpg | dscf4245.jpg | dscf4285.jpg | scf4325.jpg | dscf4365.jpg |
| f4006.jpg | dscf4046.jpg | dscf4086.jpg | dscf4126.jpg | dscf4166.jpg | dscf4206.jpg | dscf4246.jpg | dscf4286.jpg | scf4326.jpg | dscf4366.jpg |
| dscf4007.jpg | dscf4047 | dscf4087.jp | dscf4127.jpg | dscf4167 | dscf4207.jpg | dscf4247.jpg | dscf4287.jpg | scf4327.jpg | dscf4367.jpg |
| dscf4008.jpg | dscf4048.jpg | dscf4088.jpg | dscf4128.jpg | dscf4168.jp | dscf4208.jpg | dscf4248.jpg | dscf4288.jpg | dscf4328.jpg | dscf4368.jpg |
| dscf4009.jpg | dscf4049.jpg | dscf4089.jpg | dscf4129.jpg | dscf4169.jpg | dscf4209.jpg | dscf4249.jpg | dscf4289.jpg | dscf4329.jpg | dscf4369.jpg |
| dscf4010.jpg | dscf4050.jpg | dscf4090.jpg | dscf4130.jpg | dscf4170.jpg | dscf4210.jpg | dscf4250.jpg | dscf4290.jpg | dscf4330.jpg | dscf4370.jpg |
| dscf4011.jpg | dscf4051.jpg | dscf4091.jpg | dscf4131.jpg | dscf4171.jpg | dscf4211.jpg | dscf4251.jpg | dscf4291.jpg | dscf4331.jpg | dscf4371.jpg |
| dscf4012.jpg | dscf4052.jpg | dscf4092.jpg | dscf4132.jpg | dscf4172.jpg | dscf4212.jpg | dscf4252.jpg | dscf4292.jpg | dscf4332.jpg | dscf4372.jpg |
| dscf4013.jpg | dscf4053.jpg | dscf4093.jpg | dscf4133.jpg | dscf4173.jpg | dscf4213.jpg | dscf4253.jpg | dscf4293.jpg | dscf4333.jpg | dscf4373.jpg |
| dscf4014.jpg | dscf4054.jpg | dscf4094.jpg | dscf4134.jpg | dscf4174.jpg | dscf4214.jpg | dscf4254.jpg | dscf4294.jpg | dscf4334.jpg | dscf4374.jpg |
| dscf4015.jpg | dscf4055.jpg | dscf4095.jpg | dscf4135.jpg | dscf4175.jpg | dscf4215.jpg | dscf4255.jpg | dscf4295.jpg | dscf4335.jpg | dscf4375.jpg |
| dscf4016.jpg | dscf4056.jpg | dscf4096.jpg | dscf4136.jpg | dscf4176.jpg | dscf4216.jpg | dscf4256.jpg | dscf4296.jpg | dscf4336.jpg | dscf4376.jpg |
| dscf4017.jpg | dscf4057.jpg | dscf4097.jpg | dscf4137.jpg | dscf4177.jpg | dscf4217.jpg | dscf4257.jpg | dscf4297.jpg | dscf4337.jpg | dscf4377.jpg |
| dscf4018.jpg | dscf4058.jpg | dscf4098.jpg | dscf4138.jpg | dscf4178.jpg | dscf4218.jpg | dscf4258.jpg | dscf4298.jpg | dscf4338.jpg | dscf4378.jpg |
| dscf4019.jpg | dscf4059.jpg | dscf4099.jpg | dscf4139.jpg | dscf4179.jpg | dscf4219.jpg | dscf4259.jpg | dscf4299.jpg | dscf4339.jpg | dscf4379.jpg |
| dscf4020.jpg | dscf4060.jpg | dscf4100.jpg | dscf4140.jpg | dscf4180.jpg | dscf4220.jpg | dscf4260.jpg | dscf4300.jpg | dscf4340.jpg | dscf4380.jpg |
| dscf4021.jpg | dscf4061.jpg | dscf4101.jpg | dscf4141.jpg | dscf4181.jpg | dscf4221.jpg | dscf4261.jpg | dscf4301.jpg | dscf4341.jpg | dscf4381.jpg |
| dscf4022.jpg | dscf4062.jpg | dscf4102.jpg | dscf4142.jpg | dscf4182.jpg | dscf4222.jpg | dscf4262.jpg | dscf4302.jpg | dscf4342.jpg | dscf4382.jpg |
| dscf4023.jpg | dscf4063.jpg | dscf4103.jpg | dscf4143.jpg | dscf4183.jpg | dscf4223.jpg | dscf4263.jpg | dscf4303.jpg | dscf4343.jpg | dscf4383.jpg |


| Electronic data collected by the FFM |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| dscf4024.jpg | dscf4064.jpg | dscf4104.jpg | dscf4144.jpg | dscf4184.jpg | dscf4224.jpg | dscf4264.jpg | dscf4304.jpg | dscf4344.jpg | dscf4384.jpg |
| dscf4025.jpg | dscf4065.jpg | dscf4105.jpg | dscf4145.jpg | dscf4185.jpg | dscf4225.jpg | dscf4265.jpg | dscf4305.jpg | dscf4345.jpg | dscf4385.jpg |
| dscf4026.jpg | dscf4066.jpg | dscf4106.jpg | dscf4146.jpg | dscf4186.jpg | dscf4226.jpg | dscf4266.jpg | dscf4306.jpg | dscf4346.jpg | dscf4386.jpg |
| dscf4027.jpg | dscf4067.jpg | dscf4107.jpg | dscf4147.jpg | dscf4187.jpg | dscf4227.jpg | dscf4267.jpg | dscf4307.jpg | dscf4347.jpg | dscf4387.jpg |
| dscf4028.jpg | dscf4068.jpg | dscf4108.jpg | dscf4148.jpg | dscf4188.jpg | dscf4228.jpg | dscf4268.jpg | dscf4308.jpg | dscf4348.jpg | dscf4388.jpg |
| dscf4029.jpg | dscf4069.jpg | dscf4109.jpg | dscf4149.jpg | dscf4189.jpg | dscf4229.jpg | dscf4269.jpg | dscf4309.jpg | dscf4349.jpg | dscf4389.jpg |
| dscf4030.jp | dscf4070.jpg | dscf4110.jpg | dscf4150.jpg | dscf4190.jpg | dscf4230.jpg | dscf4270.jpg | dscf4310.jpg | cf4350.jpg | scf4390.jpg |
| dscf4031 | dscf4071.jpg | dscf4111.jpg | dscf4151.jpg | dscf4191.jp | dscf4231.jpg | dscf4271.jpg | dscf4311.jpg | dscf4351.jpg | scf4391.jpg |
| cf4032 | dscf4072.jp | dscf4112.jp | dscf4152 | dscf4192.jpg | dscf4232 | dscf4272.jpg | cf4312.jpg | 4352.jpg | scf4392.jpg |
| dscf4033.jpg | dscf4073.jpg | dscf4113.jpg | dscf4153.jpg | dscf4193.jp | dscf4233.jpg | dscf4273.jpg | dscf4313.jpg | cf4353.jpg | dscf4393.jpg |
| dscf4034.jpg | dscf4074.jp | dscf4114.jpg | dscf4154.jp | dscf4194.jp | dscf4234.jpg | dscf4274.jpg | dscf4314.jpg | cf4354.jpg | dscf4394.jpg |
| dscf4035.jp | dscf4075.jp | dscf4115.jpg | dscf4155.jp | dscf4195.jp | dscf4235.jpg | dscf4275.jpg | dscf4315.jpg | dscf4355.jpg | dscf4395.jpg |
| dscf4036.jp | dscf4076.jp | dscf4116.jp | dscf4156.jp | dscf4196.j | dscf4236.jpg | dscf4276.jpg | dscf4316.jpg | dscf4356.jpg | dscf4396.j |
| dscf4037.jp | dscf4077.jp | dscf4117.jp | dscf4157.jp | dscf4197.jp | dscf4237.jpg | dscf4277.jpg | dscf4317.jpg | dscf4357.jpg | dscf4397.jpg |
| dscf4038.jpg | dscf4078 | dscf4118.j | ds | dscf4198.jp | dscf4238.jpg | dscf4278.jpg | dscf4318.jpg | 4358.jpg | scf4398.jpg |
| dscf4039.jpg | dsc | dscf4119. | ds | ds | pg | 279.jpg | 4319.jpg | 4359.jpg | g |
| cf4040.jpg | dscf4080.jpg | dscf4 | dscf4160.jpg | dscf4200.jpg | dscf4240.jpg | dscf4280.jpg | dscf4320.j | dscf4360.jpg | dscf4400.jpg |
| dscf4401.jpg |  |  |  |  | dscf4403.jpg |  |  | dscf4404.jpg |  |
| Entry number | Assigned Package Code |  |  | Folder location |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12. | 1914 |  |  | D:\1914\Camera 2-1914\removable disk\dcim\100nikon\} |  |  |  |  |  |
| dscn2162.jpg | dscn2177.jpg | dscn2192.jpg | dscn2207.jpg | dscn2222.jpg | dscn2236.jpg | dscn2250.jpg | dscn2264.jpg | dscn2278.jpg | dscn2292.jpg |
| dscn2163.jpg | dscn2178.jpg | dscn2193.jpg | dscn2208.jpg | dscn2223.jpg | dscn2237.jpg | dscn2251.jpg | dscn2265.jpg | dscn2279.jpg | dscn2293.jpg |
| dscn2164.jpg | dscn2179.jpg | dscn2194.jpg | dscn2209.jpg | dscn2224.jpg | dscn2238.jpg | dscn2252.jpg | dscn2266.jpg | dscn2280.jpg | dscn2294.jpg |
| dscn2165.jpg | dscn2180.jpg | dscn2195.jpg | dscn2210.jpg | dscn2225.jpg | dscn2239.jpg | dscn2253.jpg | dscn2267.jpg | dscn2281.jpg | dscn2295.jpg |
| dscn2166.jpg | dscn2181.jpg | dscn2196.jpg | dscn2211.jpg | dscn2226.jpg | dscn2240.jpg | dscn2254.jpg | dscn2268.jpg | dscn2282.jpg | dscn2296.jpg |
| dscn2167.jpg | dscn2182.jpg | dscn2197.jpg | dscn2212.jpg | dscn2227.jpg | dscn2241.jpg | dscn2255.jpg | dscn2269.jpg | dscn2283.jpg | dscn2297.jpg |
| dscn2168.jpg | dscn2183.jpg | dscn2198.jpg | dscn2213.jpg | dscn2228.jpg | dscn2242.jpg | dscn2256.jpg | dscn2270.jpg | dscn2284.jpg | dscn2298.jpg |
| dscn2169.jpg | dscn2184.jpg | dscn2199.jpg | dscn2214.jpg | dscn2229.jpg | dscn2243.jpg | dscn2257.jpg | dscn2271.jpg | dscn2285.jpg | dscn2299.jpg |



TABLE A9.2 HARD COPY OF DATA COLLECTED BY THE FACT-FINDING MISSION


## [

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Collected by the FFM } \\
& \text { Collected by the FFM } \\
& \text { Collected by the FFM } \\
& \hline \text { Collected by the FFM } \\
& \hline \text { Collected by the FFM } \\
& \hline \text { Collected by the FFM } \\
& \hline \text { Collected by the FFM } \\
& \hline \text { Collected by the FFM } \\
& \hline \text { Collected by the FFM } \\
& \hline \text { Collected by the FFM } \\
& \hline \text { Collected by the FFM } \\
& \hline \text { Collected by the FFM } \\
& \hline \text { Collected by the FFM } \\
& \hline \text { Collected by the FFM } \\
& \hline \text { Collected by the FFM } \\
& \hline \text { Collected by the FFM } \\
& \hline \text { Collected by the FFM } \\
& \hline \text { Collected by the FFM } \\
& \hline \text { Collected by the FFM } \\
& \hline
\end{aligned}
$$

## Table A9.3 LIST OF SAMPLES COLLECTED OR RECEIVED BY THE FACT-FINDING MISSION

## 20180421190902

20180421190903
20180421190904 20180421190905 20180421190906 20180421190907 20180421190908 20180421190909 20180421190910 20180421190911 20180421190912 20180421190913 20180421190914 20180421190915 20180421190916 20180421190917 20180421190918 20180421190919 20180421190920 20180421190921
(level
(level

[^6]空

| Entry <br> number | Sample Description | Evidence Reference <br> Number | Source |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 44 | Insect from the lavatory in the basement (level -1) | 20180421190944 | Collected by the FFM |
| 45 | Pillow from the bed under the cylinder | 20180425178801 | Collected by the FFM |
| 46 | Metal fragment from the bedroom floor | 20180425178802 | Collected by the FFM |
| 47 | Metal object from the dresser | 20180425178803 | Collected by the FFM |
| 48 | Piece of blanket under the cylinder | 20180425178804 | Collected by the FFM |
| 49 | Control sample: piece of blanket on the opposite side of the bed, on the floor | 20180425178805 | Collected by the FFM |
| 50 | Wet wood from under the cylinder | 20180425178806 | Collected by the FFM |
| 51 | Insects and dust from the tray in the bedroom shower | 20180425178807 | Collected by the FFM |
| 52 | Bedside lamp on top of the mattress | 20180425178808 | Collected by the FFM |
| 53 | Copper wire attached to the roof, hanging from the ceiling lamp | 20180425178809 | Collected by the FFM |
| 54 | Pillow cover on the bed, closer to the wall | 20180425178810 | Collected by the FFM |
| 55 | Dry wipe from nozzle, front part close to the thread | 20180425178811 | Collected by the FFM |
| 56 | Dry wipe from the cylinder thread | 20180425178812 | Collected by the FFM |
| 57 | Dry wipe from stains on the wall, behind the bed | 20180425178813 | Collected by the FFM |
| 58 | Chips of paint from the wall behind the bed | 20180425178814 | Collected by the FFM |
| 59 | Wipe with DCM blank | 20180425178815 | Collected by the FFM |
| 60 | Wipe with DCM from the headbed | 20180425178816 | Collected by the FFM |
| 61 | Wipe with DCM from the cylinder nozzle | 20180425178817 | Collected by the FFM |
| 62 | Calid paper from wall | 20180425178818 | Collected by the FFM |
| 63 | Gloves from the stairs | 20180425178819 | Collected by the FFM |
| 64 | Wipe with DCM from the door threshold, at the entrance of the apartment | 20180427191401 | Collected by the FFM |
| 65 | Solid sample from a white bag under a jar (made in China) labelled as <br> hexamine | Collected by the FFM |  |
| 66 | Solid sample from a jar labelled as hexamine | Collected by the FFM |  |
|  |  | 9180427191402 |  |
|  |  |  |  |
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| Entry <br> number | Sample Description | Evidence Reference <br> Number | Source |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 67 | Solid sample from a white bag next to a jar labelled as hexamine | 20180427191403 | Collected by the FFM |
| 68 | Solid sample from a white bag with Cheminol label and labelled as hexamine | 20180427191404 | Collected by the FFM |
| 69 | Solid sample of unknown blue crystalline solid | 20180427191405 | Collected by the FFM |
| 70 | Solid sample of unknown green solid | 20180427191406 | Collected by the FFM |
| 71 | Swab blank with DCM | 20180430150801 | Collected by the FFM |
| 72 | Swab blank with water | 20180430150802 | Collected by the FFM |
| 73 | Swab sample with DCM from an outlet valve on a reactor | 20180430150803 | Collected by the FFM |
| 74 | Swab sample with water from an outlet valve on a reactor | 20180430150804 | Collected by the FFM |
| 75 | DCM wipe of the wall and floor at hose down area seen in an open source <br> video | 20180501177901 | Collected by the FFM |
| 76 | Water wipe of the wall and floor at hose down area seen in an open source <br> video | 20180501177902 | Collected by the FFM |
| 77 | Swab blank with DCM | 20180501177903 | Collected by the FFM |
| 78 | Wipe blank with water | 20180501177904 | Collected by the FFM |
| 79 | Concrete dust scraping at pillar 51 (control) | 20180501177905 | Collected by the FFM |
| 80 | Concrete dust 5-13 on the right hand side of the wall | 20180501177906 | Collected by the FFM |
| 81 | Grouting from 5-13 c. 1m out from the LHS wall | 20180501177907 | Collected by the FFM |
| 82 | Piece of clothes from a victim | 20180421178219 | Handed over by 1782 |
| 83 | Pieces of timber | 20180421178220 | Handed over by 1782 |
| 84 | Dark blue vest | 20180421178215 | Handed over by 1782 |
| 85 | Scarf collected from the basement | 20180422174805 | Handed over by 1748 |
| 86 | Stuffed animal collected from basement | 20180422174804 | Handed over by 1748 |
| 87 | Plasma samples | 20180421178201 | Handed over by 1782 |
| 88 | Plasma samples | 20180421178204 | Handed over by 1782 |

Evidence Reference

| Number |  |
| :---: | :--- |
| 20180421178207 | Handed over by 1782 |
| 20180421178210 | Handed over by 1782 |
| 20180418175704 A | Handed over by 1782 |
| 20180418175703 A | Handed over by 1757 |
| 20180418175702 A | Handed over by 1757 |
| 20180418175701 A | Handed over by 1757 |
| 201804211748 PL | Collected by the FFM |
| 201804211795 PL | Collected by the FFM |
| 201804211770 PL | Collected by the FFM |
| 201804251753 PL | Collected by the FFM |
| 20180421178202 | Handed over by 1782 |
| 20180421178205 | Handed over by 1782 |
| 20180421178208 | Handed over by 1782 |
| 20180421178211 | Handed over by 1782 |
| 20180421178214 | Handed over by 1782 |
| 20180418175704 B | Handed over by 1757 |
| 20180418175703 B | Handed over by 1757 |
| 20180418175702 B | Handed over by 1757 |
| 20180418175701 B | Handed over by 1757 |
| 201804211748 BC | Collected by the FFM |
| 201804211795 BC | Collected by the FFM |
| 201804211770 BC | Collected by the FFM |

Plasma samples Plasma samples Plasma samples Plasma samples Plasma samples Plasma samples Plasma samples Plasma samples Plasma samples Plasma samples Plasma samples Blood cell samples Blood cell samples Blood cell samples Blood cell samples Blood cell samples Blood cell samples Blood cell samples Blood cell samples Blood cell samples Blood cell samples Blood cell samples Blood cell samples
$\infty$ のひふ $\sigma$ す に $\circ$ ล人 $\stackrel{\infty}{\circ}$ ล 8 흥 응 $\stackrel{2}{2}$ $\pm$ n $\circ$ 등 $\stackrel{\circ}{0}$ 응 110

| Entry <br> number | Sample Description | Evidence Reference <br> Number | Source |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :--- |
| 112 | Blood cell samples | 201804251753 BC | Collected by the FFM |
| 113 | Full blood samples | 20180421178203 | Handed over by 1782 |
| 114 | Full blood samples | 20180421178206 | Handed over by 1782 |
| 115 | Full blood samples | 20180421178209 | Handed over by 1782 |
| 116 | Full blood samples | 20180421178212 | Handed over by 1782 |
| 117 | Hair samples | $20180418175705 H S$ | Handed over by 1757 |
| 118 | Hair samples | 20180418175706 HS | Handed over by 1757 |
| 119 | Hair samples | 20180418175707 HS | Handed over by 1757 |
| 120 | Hair samples | 20180430178226 | Handed over by 1782 |
| 121 | Hair samples | 20180430178227 | Handed over by 1782 |
| 122 | Hair samples | 20180430178228 | Handed over by 1782 |
| 123 | Hair samples | 20180430178229 | Handed over by 1782 |
| 124 | Hair samples | 20180430178230 | Handed over by 1782 |
| 125 | DNA samples | 20180426178221 | Collected by the FFM |
| 126 | DNA samples | 20180426178222 | Collected by the FFM |
| 127 | DNA samples | 20180426178223 | Collected by the FFM |
| 128 | DNA samples | 20180426178224 | Collected by the FFM |
| 129 | DNA samples | 20180426178225 | Collected by the FFM |


| Entry number | Assigned Package Code | Folder location |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 1744 | E:117441DVD 1\|video_ts\ |  |  |  |  |
| File names |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| video_ts.bup | video_ts.fo ${ }^{\text {a }}$ video_ts.vob | vts_01_0.bup | vts_01_0.ifo | vts_01_0.vob | vts_01_1.vob | vts_01_2.vob |
| Entry number | Assigned Package Code | Folder location _-_ |  |  |  |  |
| 1. | 1744 | E:\17441DVD 2lvideo_ts |  |  |  |  |
|  | File names |  |  |  |  |  |
| video_ts.bup | video_ts.ifo ${ }^{\text {dideo_ts.vob }}$ | vts_01_0.bup | vts_01_0.ifo | vts_01_1.vob | video_ts.bup | video_ts.ifo |

TABLE A.10.2 ELECTRONIC DATA HANDED OVER BY THE SYRIAN ARAB REPUBLIC

## Annex 11

## DIGITAL INFORMATION ANALYSIS

The FFM team analysed the videos and photos in detail to ascertain their authenticity and potential as confirming evidence.

The analysis involved, inter alia:

1. Gathering metadata to verify the dates and time the videos and photos were created.
2. Corroborating information gathered through interviews. Only digital information that contained metadata was evaluated for the purposes digital information analysis of this report.
3. Comparing clinical signs displayed by the victims in the videos with known presentations of chemical exposure.

## MEDIA FILES RECEIVED BY THE FFM

A total of 206 media files were collected directly from witnesses, namely videos and photographs (Annex 9).

Media files received from witnesses


Figure A11.1 Distribution of type of media files received

Metadata was extracted from $54.9 \%$ of the media files.
Media Files with/without metadata


Figure A11.2 Distribution of media files with/without extracted metadata

Number of Media files per date


Figure A11.3 Distribution of media files according to their Date of Original. The bar marked in red belongs to four files likely to be generated with a device on which date/time setting were not properly set.

The extracted metadata show that media files originated between 7 and 16 of April 2018, except for four files dated 12 June 2015. After inspecting the latter, the conclusion is that the content is related to the incident on 7 April 2018 in Douma and the incorrect timestamp on the metadata is likely to be due to incorrect date/time settings on the device that generated the files. In an abundance of caution, the FFM excluded those files from the analysis.

Number of Media Files per Location


According to witness testimonies, and after evaluating the content of the files, the distribution of the images per location of origin is as shown in Figure A11.4. The majority of the images were created at Location 2 and Point One.

Figure A11.4 Distribution of media filess according to the place they were recorded According to content, the files were classified as follows:

## Media Files distribution per Content



Figure A.11.5 Classification of images according to their content
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A timeline was created using the content and dates of the files extracted from the metadata (see Figure A.11.6 below). From figure A.11.6 and A.11.7, it is clear that living casualties started appearing after midday on 7 April. Afterwards, there has been a gap until new casualties were reported. Then, there was another gap in time while there were no images of casualties on 8 April between 2:00 and 14:00 hours. Note that the timeline was created using only the images with available metadata.
Timeline of Media Files



Figure A.11.7 Timeline of media files with images of living casualties. The last image on 10 April 2018 was taken by a casualty showing the evolution of clinical signs.

Figure A.11.8 shows the timeline of images depicting decedents. The first were taken between 22:00 and 23:00 on 7 April. The next group of pictures was taken on 8 April after 8:00 AM.

## Timeline of Media Files - Decedents

$\dagger$ Decedents


Figure A.11.8 Timeline of media files with images depicting decedents.


Figure A.11.9 Timeline of media files with images of cylinders

Figure A.11.9 shows the timeline of images with cylinders. Only one video showing the cylinder at Location 4 contained metadata and it was recorded on 10 April 2018 21:41:05. All other images of cylinders were taken at Location 2.

The following observations are noted by the FFM team after the analysis of digital information:

- From an examination of the metadata, the videos and photos provided by witnesses in relation to Locations 1, 2, and 4 were created at the reported time of the alleged incident.
- From the various videos showing the deceased victims throughout the interior of Location 2, some of the victims had been moved between video recordings.


## ANNEX 12

## EXPERTS` ANALYSES ON INDUSTRIAL-TYPE CYLINDERS

Experts' Analyses for Industrial Cylinders found in Douma at the Site of Alleged Use
of 7 April 2018

- The FFM requested three independent analyses from experts recognised by their respective institutions and the international community for their knowledge, skills, and experience.
- The experts consulted came from three different countries and have expertise in engineering, ballistics, metallurgy, construction, and other relevant fields.
- The analyses were focussed on the damage observed on the industrial cylinders and their surroundings in both locations where they were found in Douma.
- The experts provided reports and numerical simulations on the impact of steel cylinders on reinforced concrete slabs, in line with the two locations observed by the FFM team members in Douma.
- The analyses included general descriptions, geometrical data, trajectory calculations, empirical calculations, and numerical simulations.
- The international experts used different methodologies and approaches for their analyses in order to produce more comprehensive results. Proprietary, commercial referenced software solutions were used for numerical simulations.
- The independent analyses results were complementary and, as such, presented in the main body of the report.
- Consultations with the international experts were conducted in accordance with OPCW confidentiality procedures.
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[^0]:    1 An interim report was previously issued by the Secretariat (S/1645/2018, dated 6 July 2018).
    2 Details on security and access are available in Section 6.
    3 Reasons are explained in paragraphs 6.9 and 8.22.

[^1]:    4
    Reactive chlorine ( RC ) is the combined concentration of various chlorine species able to react and interconvert in a given environment. It includes free available chlorine (chloride ions), hypochlorous acid and the hypochlorite ion. Further details are present in Paragraphs 8.6 to 8.15.

[^2]:    7 A detailed description of the cylinders is present in Annexes 6 and 7.
    Paragraphs 8.9 to 8.18 .

[^3]:    $9 \quad$ The FFM team based its findings on whether there were reasonable grounds to believe that chemical weapons were used, based on a reliable body of evidence consistent with other information tending to show that an incident or event happened (Annex $13[6,8,13]$ ) Note: Numbers in square brackets are references to the bibliography in Annex 13 to this report.

[^4]:    17
    See footnote 6.
    The detailed description of the cylinders is present in Annexes 6 and 7.
    Paragraphs 8.9 to 8.18 .

[^5]:    $\mathrm{BChE}=$ butyrylcholinesterase

[^6]:    (level 2)
    Wipe with DCM from the burnt wall from room under the cylinder (level 2)
    Swab with water from wall plug in the room under the cylinder (level 2)
    Dry wipe from the kitchen wall above the oven (level 2)
    Wood fragment from the kitchen door (level 2)
    Towel from the room located under the cylinder (level 2)
    Exposed electrical wires from the room under the cylinder (level 2)
    Lump of concrete from floor debris in the room under the cylinder (level 2) Soap bar from the room under the cylinder (level 2)

    Dry wipe from a bicycle rear cassette in the basement (level -1)
    Swab with DCM from a bicycle rear cassette in the basement (level-1) Water tank wood support in the basement (level -1) Light bulb from the basement (level -1)

    Wood from the partition frame in the basement (level-1) Water from water tank in basement (level -1)

    Telephone from the basement (level -1)
    2 nails and 2 screws from a wall in the basement (level -1)
    Swab with water from an electric socket in the basement (level -1)
    Swab with DCM from an electric socket in the basement (level-1) Damp wall board from the basement to the left of the stairs (level -1) Wipe with water from a wall in the basement (level-1)

    Wipe with DCM from a wall in the basement (level -1)
    Wipe with water from a lavatory extractor pipe in the basement (level -1)

    N Nิ ત્ત
    ล
    $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{i}$
    $\stackrel{\infty}{\sim}$ $\stackrel{\circ}{2}$ $\bar{m}$ N m 34 $\approx$ 능 $\infty$ ले ㅇ ヲ ก $ั$

